arXiv:1303.5486v1 [math.GT] 21 Mar 2013

PD_4-COMPLEXES AND 2-DIMENSIONAL DUALITY GROUPS

JONATHAN A. HILLMAN

Abstract. This paper is a synthesis and extension of three earlier papers on PD_4-complexes X with fundamental group π such that $c.d.\pi = 2$ and π has one end. Our goal is to show that the homotopy types of such complexes are determined by π, the Stiefel-Whitney classes and the equivariant intersection pairing on $\pi_2(X)$. We achieve this under further conditions on π.

It remains an open problem to give a homotopy classification of closed 4-manifolds or PD_4-complexes, in terms of standard invariants such as the fundamental group, characteristic classes and homotopy intersection pairings. In [19] it is shown that if X is orientable and $H_2(X;\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ the homotopy type of X is determined by its Postnikov 2-stage $P_2(X)$ and the image of the fundamental class $[X]$ in $H_4(P_2(X);\mathbb{Z})$, and if π is finite and of cohomological period dividing 4 this image is in turn determined by the equivariant intersection pairing. The first part of this result has been extended to all PD_4-complexes by Baues and Bleile, who show that PD_4-complexes X and Y are homotopy equivalent if and only if there is a homotopy equivalence $h : P_2(X) \to P_2(Y)$ such that $h^*w_1(Y) = w_1(X) = w$, say, and which carries the image of $[X]$ in $H_4(P_2(X);\mathbb{Z}^w)$ to the image of $[Y]$ in $H_4(P_2(Y);\mathbb{Z}^w)$. They also give a homotopy classification of PD_4-complexes (up to 2-torsion) in terms of homotopy classes of chain complexes with a homotopy commutative diagonal and an additional quadratic structure [6]. However, there is still the question of how to characterize the classes in $H_4(P_2(X);\mathbb{Z}^w)$ which correspond to PD_4-complexes.

We shall extend the work of [19] to relate these classes in $H_4(P_2(X);\mathbb{Z}^w)$ to intersection pairings, for certain cases with $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ infinite. The central idea is that of "strongly minimal PD_4-complex", one for which the equivariant intersection pairing is identically 0. (We shall in fact use the equivalent cohomological pairing.) This idea is particularly useful when $c.d.\pi \leq 2$, for every PD_4-complex with such a group has a strongly minimal model. This class of groups is both tractable and of direct interest to geometric topology, as it includes all surface groups, knot groups and the groups of many other bounded 3-manifolds.

The first two sections are algebraic. In particular, Theorem 2 (in §2) establishes a connection between hermitean pairings and the Whitehead quadratic functor Γ_W. Sections 3–8 consider the homotopy classification of PD_4-complexes, and introduce several notions of minimality. The first main result is in §7, where it is shown that two PD_4-complexes X and Y with $k_1(X) = k_1(Y) = 0$ and with the same strongly minimal model are homotopy equivalent if and only if their intersection pairings are isometric. Sections 9–13 consider the existence of strongly minimal PD_4-complexes, for various classes of fundamental groups. The next five sections

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57P10.

Key words and phrases. cohomological dimension, homotopy intersection, k-invariant, PD_4-complex.

lead to the second main result, Theorem 36 (in §17), which shows that strongly minimal PD_4-complexes X such that $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ has $c.d.\pi = 2$ and one end may be distinguished by their Stiefel-Whitney classes, *provided* that $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is 2-torsion free, where $\Pi = \pi_2(X) \cong \overline{H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$. This theorem is modeled on the much simpler case when π is a surface group, which is analyzed in §14. Apart from the notion of minimality, the main technical points are the connection between hermitean pairings and Γ_W, the fact that a certain homomorphism defined via a "cup product" is an isomorphism (Theorem 33), and the condition on 2-torsion. In [29], we showed that the cup-product condition held for surface groups, torus knot groups and solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups. Here we show that it holds for all finitely presentable groups π with one end and $c.d.\pi = 2$ (Theorem 36). The 2-torsion condition is still only known for the solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups (Lemma 38). The final section considers the classification up to TOP s-cobordism or homeomorphism of closed 4-manifolds with groups as in Theorem 36. In particular, it is shown that a remarkable 2-knot discovered by Ralph Fox is uniquely determined up to TOP isotopy by its knot group.

The theme of Hambleton, Kreck and Teichner [21] is particularly close to that of this paper, although their methods are very different. They use Kreck's modified surgery theory to classify up to s-cobordism closed orientable 4-manifolds with fundamental groups of geometric dimension 2 (subject to some K- and L-theoretic hypotheses), and they show also that every automorphism of the algebraic 2-type is realized by an s-cobordism, in many cases. (They do not require that the group be one-ended, which is a restriction imposed by our arguments. However, when the group is free there is a simpler, more homological approach, which also uses the ideas of §2 below [26]. See also §13 below.)

This paper is a synthesis and extension of three earlier papers [27, 28, 29] on PD_4-complexes X with fundamental group π such that $c.d.\pi = 2$ and π has one end. Apart from the benefits of revision, the main novelties are Theorem 33 and the clarification of the role of the refined v_2-type.

1. MODULES AND GROUP RINGS

Let $w : \pi \to \mathbb{Z}^\times = \{\pm 1\}$ be a homomorphism. (This shall represent the orientation character for a PD_n-complex with fundamental group π. We shall at times view it as a class in $H^1(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$.) Define an involution on $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ by $\bar{g} = w(g)g^{-1}$, for all $g \in \pi$. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^w$ be the augmentation and w-twisted augmentation rings, and $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon_w : \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \to \mathbb{Z}^w$ be the augmentation and the w-twisted augmentation, defined by $\varepsilon(g) = 1$ and $\varepsilon_w(g) = w(g)$, for all $g \in \pi$, respectively. Let $I_w = \mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon_w)$.

All modules considered here shall be left modules, unless otherwise noted. However if L is a left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module the dual $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(L, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ and the higher extension groups $Ext^i_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(L, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ are naturally right modules. If R is a right $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module let $\overline{R}$ be the corresponding left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module with the conjugate structure given by $g.r = r.\bar{g}$, for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ and $r \in R$. Let $L^\dagger = \overline{Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(L, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$ and $E^iL = \overline{Ext^i_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(L, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$, for $i \geq 0$ be the conjugate dual left modules. If L is free, stably free or projective then so is $E^0L = L^\dagger$. We shall consider $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^w$ to be bimodules, with the same left and right π-structures. (Note that $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}^w$.)

The modules $E^q\mathbb{Z} = \overline{H^q(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$ with $q \leq 3$ shall recur throughout this paper. In particular, $E^0\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}^w$ if π is finite and is 0 otherwise, while $E^1\mathbb{Z}$ reflects the number of ends of π. It is 0 if π is finite or has one end, infinite cyclic if π has two ends (i.e., is virtually infinite cyclic) and is free abelian of infinite rank otherwise.

Lemma 1. *Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module with a finite resolution of length n and such that $E^iM = 0$ for $i < n$. Then $Aut(M) \cong Aut(E^nM)$.*

Proof. Since $E^iM = 0$ for $i < n$ the dual of a resolution of length n for M is a finite resolution for E^nM. Taking duals again recovers the original resolution, and so $E^nE^nM \cong M$. If $f \in Aut(M)$ it extends to an endomorphism of the resolution inducing an automorphism E^nf of E^nM. Taking duals again gives $E^nE^nf = f$. Thus $f \mapsto E^nf$ determines an isomorphism $Aut(M) \cong Aut(E^nM)$. □

A group π is an *n-dimensional duality group* over $\mathbb{Z}$ if the augmentation $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ module $\mathbb{Z}$ has a finite projection resolution of length n, $H^i(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$ for $i < n$ and the *dualizing module* $\mathcal{D} = H^n(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is torsion free. (See Theorem VIII.10.1 of [8].) Since $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = E^n\mathbb{Z}$, Lemma 1 then implies that $Aut(\overline{\mathcal{D}}) = \{\pm 1\}$. Finitely generated free groups are duality groups of dimension 1. If π is finitely presentable and $c.d.\pi = 2$ then $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is 0, $\mathbb{Z}$ or free abelian of (countably) infinite rank, by Proposition 13.7.12 of [18]. Hence π is a duality group of dimension 2 if and only if it has one end.

Let $F(n)$ be the free group with basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. The augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[F(n)]$ is freely generated by $\{x_1 - 1, \ldots, x_n - 1\}$ as a left $\mathbb{Z}[F(n)]$-module and so we may write

$$w - 1 = \Sigma_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}(x_i - 1),$$

for $w \in F(n)$. Since $vw - 1 = v - 1 + v(w - 1)$, for all $v, w \in F(\mu)$, the Leibniz conditions

$$\frac{\partial vw}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + v\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}$$

hold for all $v, w \in F(\mu)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, $\frac{\partial 1}{\partial x_i} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial w^{-1}}{\partial x_i} = -w^{-1}\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We may extend these functions linearly to "derivations" of $\mathbb{Z}[F(n)]$.

Now let π be a group with a finite presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_g | w_1, \ldots, w_r\rangle^{\varphi}$, where $\varphi : F(g) \to \pi$ is an epimorphism with kernel the normal closure of $\{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$. Let $def(\mathcal{P}) = g - r$ be the deficiency and $C(\mathcal{P})$ be the 2-complex corresponding to this presentation. Then $\chi(C(\mathcal{P})) = 1 - def(\mathcal{P})$. A choice of lifts of the q-cells of $C(\mathcal{P})$ to the universal cover $\widetilde{C(\mathcal{P})}$ determines a basis for $C_q(\widetilde{C(\mathcal{P})})$ as a free left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module. It is not hard to see that the differentials are given by $\partial_1(c_1^{(i)}) = (\varphi(x_i) - 1)c_0$ and $\partial_2(c_2^{(j)}) = \Sigma_{1 \leq i \leq g}\varphi(\frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i})c_1^{(i)}$. (We extend φ linearly to the group rings.) We may identify the module of 0-cycles $Z_0(\widetilde{C(\mathcal{P})})$ with the left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $I(\pi)$. Thus if we view the free modules $C_q(\widetilde{C(\mathcal{P})})$ as modules of column vectors then $I(\pi)$ has a $g \times r$ presentation matrix with (i, j)th entry $\varphi(\frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i})$.

2. THE WHITEHEAD FUNCTOR AND HERMITEAN PAIRINGS

Let A and B be abelian groups. A function $f : A \to B$ is *quadratic* if $f(-a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and if $f(a + b) - f(a) - f(b)$ defines a bilinear function from $A \times A$ to B. The *Whitehead quadratic functor* Γ_W assigns to each abelian group

A an abelian group $\Gamma_W(A)$ and a quadratic function $\gamma_A : A \to \Gamma_W(A)$ which is universal for quadratic functions with domain A. The natural epimorphism from A onto $A/2A = \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes A$ is quadratic, and so induces a canonical epimorphism from $\Gamma_W(A)$ to $A/2A$. The kernel of this epimorphism is the image of the symmetric square $A \odot A$ under the homomorphism s from $A \odot A$ to $\Gamma_W(A)$ given by $s(a \odot b) = \gamma_A(a+b) - \gamma_A(a) - \gamma_A(b)$. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$A \odot A \xrightarrow{s} \Gamma_W(A) \to A/2A \to 0.$$

(See page 13 of [5]). This sequence is short exact if A is torsion-free.

If A and B are abelian groups the inclusions into $A \oplus B$ induce a canonical splitting $\Gamma_W(A \oplus B) \cong \Gamma_W(A) \oplus \Gamma_W(B) \oplus (A \otimes B)$. Since $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ it follows by a finite induction that if $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ then $\Gamma_W(\mathbb{Z}^r)$ is finitely generated and free, and that s is injective. If A any free abelian group, every finitely generated subgroup of such a group lies in a finitely generated direct summand, and so $\Gamma_W(\mathbb{Z}^r)$ is again free, and s is injective.

A w-hermitean pairing on a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module M is a function $b : M \times M \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ which is linear in the first variable and such that $b(n,m) = \overline{b(m,n)}$, for all $m, n \in M$. The *adjoint homomorphism* $\widetilde{b} : M \to M^\dagger$ is given by $\widetilde{b}(n)(m) = b(m,n)$, for all $m, n \in M$. The pairing b is *nonsingular* if $\widetilde{b}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $Her_w(M)$ be the group of w-hermitean pairings on M. Let $ev_M(m)(n,n') = \overline{n(m)}n'(m)$ for all $m \in M$ and $n, n' \in M^\dagger$. Then $ev_M(m)(n,n')$ is quadratic in m and w-hermitean in n and n' and $ev_M(gm) = w(g)ev_M(m)$ for all $g \in \pi$ and $m \in M$. Hence ev_M determines a homomorphism

$$B_M : \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(M) \to Her_w(M^\dagger).$$

Let $M \odot_\pi M = \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} (M \odot_{\mathbb{Z}} M)$, where $M \odot M$ has the diagonal π-action, given by $g(m \odot n) = gm \odot gn$, for all $g \in \pi$ and $m, n \in M$.

Theorem 2. *Let π be a group, $w : \pi \to \mathbb{Z}^\times$ a homomorphism and M a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module. If* $\mathrm{Ker}(w)$ *has no element of order* 2 *then B_M is surjective, while if there is no element $g \in \pi$ of order* 2 *such that $w(g) = -1$ then B_M is injective.*

Proof. Since M is a free abelian group there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \odot M \to \Gamma_W(M) \to M/2M \to 0,$$

and $\Gamma_W(M)$ is free as an abelian group. This is a sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules and homomorphisms. Since M is projective, $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} M$ is also free as an abelian group. Hence the sequence

$$0 \to M \odot_\pi M \to \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(M) \to \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} M/2M = \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} M \to 0$$

is also exact, since $Tor_1^{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\mathbb{Z}^w, M/2M) = \mathrm{Ker}(2 : \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} M \to \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} M) = 0$. Let $\eta_M : M \to \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(M)$ be the composite of γ_M with the reduction from $\Gamma_W(M)$ to $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(M)$. Then the composite of η_M with the projection to $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} M$ is the canonical epimorphism. Let $[m \odot n]$ be the image of $m \odot n$ in $M \odot_\pi M$.

Suppose first that M is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module, with basis $e_1, \dots, e_r$, and let $e_1^*, \dots, e_r^*$ be the dual basis for $M^\dagger$, defined by $e_i^*(e_i) = 1$ and $e_i^*(e_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Since

$[m \odot gn] = [g(g^{-1}m \odot n)] = [\bar{g}m \circ n]$ in $M \odot_\pi M$, the typical element of $M \odot_\pi M$ may be expressed in the form $\mu = \Sigma_{i \leq j}(r_{ij}e_i) \odot e_j$. For such an element

$$B_M(\mu)(e_k^*, e_l^*) = r_{kl}, \quad \text{for } k < l,$$

and

$$B_M(\mu)(e_k^*, e_l^*) = r_{kk} + \bar{r}_{kk}, \quad \text{for } k = l.$$

In particular, $B_M(\mu)$ is *even*: if $\varepsilon_2 : \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is the composite of the augmentation with reduction *mod* (2) then $\varepsilon_2(B_M(\mu)(n,n)) = 0$ for all $n \in M^\dagger$. If $m \in M$ has nontrivial image in $\mathbb{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} M$ then $\varepsilon_2(e_i^*(m)) \neq 0$ for some $i \leq r$. Hence $B_M(\eta_M(m))$ is not even, and it follows easily that $\mathrm{Ker}(B_M) \leq M \odot_\pi M$. If $B_M(\mu) = 0$, for some $\mu = \Sigma_{i \leq j}(r_{ij}e_i) \odot e_j$, then $r_{kl} = 0$, if $k < l$, and $r_{ii} + \bar{r}_{ii} = 0$, for all i.

If π has no orientation reversing element of order 2 and $B_M(\mu) = 0$, where $\mu = \Sigma_{i \leq j}(r_{ij}e_i) \odot e_j$, then $r_{ii} = \Sigma_{g \in F(i)} a_{ig}(g - \bar{g})$, where $F(i)$ is a finite subset of π, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since $((g - \bar{g})e_i) \odot e_i = 0$ it follows easily that $\mu = \Sigma(r_{ii}e_i) \odot e_i = 0$. Hence B_M is injective.

To show that B_M is surjective when $\mathrm{Ker}(w)$ has no element of order 2 it shall suffice to assume that M has rank 1 or 2, since h is determined by the values $h_{ij} = h(e_i^*, e_j^*)$. Let $\varepsilon_w[m, m']$ be the image of $m \odot m'$ in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(M)$. Then

$$B_M(\varepsilon_w[m, m'])(n, n') = \overline{n(m)}n'(m') + \overline{n(m')}n'(m),$$

for all $m, m' \in M$ and $n, n' \in M^\dagger$. Suppose first that M has rank 1. Since $h_{11} = \bar{h}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(w)$ has no element of order 2 we may write $h_{11} = 2b + \delta + \Sigma_{g \in F}(g + \bar{g})$, where $b = \bar{b}$, $\delta = 1$ or 0 and F is a finite subset of π. Let

$$\mu = \varepsilon_w[(b + \delta + \Sigma_{g \in F} g)e_1, e_1] + \delta\eta_M(e_1).$$

Then $B_M(\mu)(e_1^*, e_1^*) = h_{11}$. If M has rank 2 and $h_{11} = h_{22} = 0$ let $\mu = \varepsilon_w[h_{12}e_1, e_2]$. Then $B_M(\mu)(e_i^*, e_j^*) = h_{ij}$. In each case $B_M(\mu) = h$, since each side of the equation is a w-hermitian pairing on $M^\dagger$.

Now suppose that M is projective, and that P is a finitely generated projective complement to M, so that $M \oplus P \cong \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^r$ for some $r \geq 0$. The inclusion of M into the direct sum induces a split monomorphism from $\Gamma_W(M)$ to $\Gamma_W(\mathbb{Z}[\pi]^r)$ which is clearly compatible with B_M and $B_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]^r}$. We may extend an hermitian pairing h on $M^\dagger$ to a pairing h_1 on $M^\dagger \oplus P^\dagger$ by setting $h_1(n, p) = h_1(p', p) = 0$ for all $n \in M^\dagger$ and $p, p' \in P^\dagger$. Clearly $h_1|_{M \times M} = h$ and so this extension determines a split monomorphism from $Her_w(M^\dagger)$ to $Her_w((\mathbb{Z}[\pi]^r)^\dagger)$. If $h_1 = B_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]^r}(\theta)$ then $h = B_M(\theta_M)$, where θ_M is the image of θ under the homomorphism induced by the projection from $M \oplus P$ onto M. Thus if $B_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]^r}$ is a monomorphism or an epimorphism so is B_M. □

In particular, if π has no 2-torsion then B_M is an isomorphism, for any projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module M. The restriction on 2-torsion is necessary, as can be seen by considering the group $G = Z/2Z = \langle g \mid g^2 \rangle$ with w trivial and h the pairing on $M = \mathbb{Z}[G]$ determined by $h(m, n) = mg\bar{n}$.

The summand $M \otimes E$ of $\Gamma_W(M \oplus E)$ has the diagonal left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module structure. Let $d : M \to M^{\dagger\dagger}$ and $t : \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} (M \otimes E) \to Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(M, E)$ be given by $d(m)(\mu) = \overline{\mu(m)}$ and $t(\mu \otimes e)(m) = \mu(m)e$, for all $m \in M$, $\mu \in M^\dagger$ and $e \in E$. If M is finitely generated and projective these functions are isomorphisms (of left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules and abelian groups, respectively). Let $\widetilde{B_M}(\gamma)$ be the adjoint of $B_M(1\otimes\gamma)$, for all $\gamma\in\Gamma_W(M)$.

Lemma 3. *Let M be a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module and $\theta : M\to E$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module homomorphism. Let $\alpha_\theta(m,e)=(m,e+\theta(m))$ for all $(m,e)\in\Pi=M\oplus E$, and let $d:M\to M^{\dagger\dagger}$ and $t:\mathbb{Z}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(M\otimes E)\to Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(M,E)$ be the isomorphisms defined above. Then α_θ is an automorphism of Π and*

$$\Gamma_W(\alpha_\theta)(\gamma)-\gamma\equiv(d\otimes 1)^{-1}[(\widetilde{B_M}(\gamma)\otimes 1)(t^{-1}(\theta))]\quad mod\quad \Gamma_W(E),$$

for all $\gamma\in\Gamma_W(M)$.

Proof. The homomorphism α_θ is clearly an automorphism of Π which restricts to the identity on the summands E and M, and

$$\Gamma_W(\alpha_\theta)(\gamma_\Pi(m))=\gamma_\Pi(m)+\gamma_\Pi(\theta(m))+m\otimes\theta(m),$$

for all $m\in M$. (See pages 13 and 14 of [5].)

Let $\beta_m=B_M(1\otimes\gamma_M(m))$, for $m\in M$. Now the adjoint homomorphism $\widetilde{\beta_m}$ is given by $\widetilde{\beta_m}(\mu)=\overline{\mu(m)}d(m)$. Since t is surjective we have $\theta=t(\Sigma\mu_i\otimes e_i)$, for some $\mu_i\in M^\dagger$ and $e_i\in E$. Then $(\widetilde{\beta_m}\otimes 1)(t^{-1}(\theta))=\Sigma\widetilde{\beta_m}(\mu_i)\otimes e_i=\Sigma d(m)\otimes\mu_i(m)e_i=d(m)\otimes\theta(m)=(d\otimes 1)(m\otimes\theta(m))$.

Since $\Gamma_W(\alpha_\theta)(\gamma_\Pi(m))-\gamma_\Pi(m)\equiv(d\otimes 1)^{-1}[(\widetilde{\beta_m}\otimes 1)(t^{-1}(\theta))]$ *mod* $\Gamma_W(E)$, for all $m\in M$, and each side is Z-quadratic in m, we have

$$\Gamma_W(\alpha_\theta)(\gamma)-\gamma\equiv(d\otimes 1)^{-1}[(\widetilde{B_M}(\gamma)\otimes 1)(t^{-1}(\theta))]\quad mod\quad \Gamma_W(E),$$

for all $\gamma\in\Gamma_W(M)$. □

3. POSTNIKOV STAGES

Let X be a based, connected cell complex with fundamental group π, and let $p_X:\widetilde{X}\to X$ be its universal covering projection and $c_X:X\to K(\pi,1)$ be the classifying map. Let $f_{X,k}:X\to P_k(X)$ be the k^{th} stage of the Postnikov tower for X. We may construct $P_k(X)$ by adjoining cells of dimension at least $k+2$ to kill the higher homotopy groups of X. The map $f_{X,k}$ is then given by the inclusion of X into $P_k(X)$, and is a $(k+1)$-connected map. In particular, $P_1(X)\simeq K=K(\pi,1)$ and $c_X=f_{X,1}$ is the classifying map for the fundamental group $\pi=\pi_1(X)$.

Let $E_0(X)$ be the group of based homotopy classes of based self-homotopy equivalences of X, and $E_\pi(X)$ be the subgroup of classes which induce the identity on π.

If M is a left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module let $L_\pi(M,n)$ be the *generalized Eilenberg-Mac Lane space* over $K=K(\pi,1)$ realizing the given action of π on M. Thus the classifying map for $L=L_\pi(M,n)$ is a principal $K(M,n)$-fibration with a section $\sigma:K\to L$. Let $[X;Y]_K$ be the set of homotopy classes over $K=K(\pi,1)$ of maps $f:X\to Y$ such that $c_X=c_Yf$. (These may also be considered as π-equivariant homotopy classes of π-equivariant maps from $\widetilde{K}$ to $\widetilde{L}$.) We may view $L_\pi(M,n)$ as the *ex-K* loop space $\overline{\Omega}L_\pi(M,n+1)$, with section σ and projection c_L. Let $\mu:L\times_K L\to L$ be the (fibrewise) loop multiplication. Then $\mu(id_L,\sigma c_L)=\mu(\sigma c_L,id_L)=id_L$ in $[L;L]_K$. Let $\iota_{M,n}\in H^n(L;M)$ be the characteristic element. The function $\theta:[X,L]_K\to H^n(X;M)$ given by $\theta(f)=f^*\iota_{M,n}$ is a isomorphism with respect to the addition on $[X,L]_K$ determined by μ. Thus $\theta(id_L)=\iota_{M,n}$, $\theta(\sigma c_X)=0$ and $\theta(\mu(f,f'))=\theta(f)+\theta(f')$. (See Definition III.6.5 of [4].)

In particular, let $k_1(X) \in H^3(\pi;\pi_2(X)$ be the first k-invariant and $f_X = f_{X,2}$ be the second stage of the Postnikov tower for X. The *algebraic* 2*-type* $[\pi, \pi_2(X), k_1(X)]$ and the Postnikov 2-stage determine each other, and

$$k_1(X) = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; c_{P_2(X)} \text{ has a section } \;\Leftrightarrow\; P_2(X) \simeq L_\pi(\pi_2(X), 2).$$

Let $L = L_\pi(M, 2)$. Then $E_\pi(L)$ is the group of units of $[L, L]_K$ with respect to composition. We shall use the following special case of a result of Tsukiyama [39]; we give only the part that we need below.

Lemma 4. *There is an exact sequence* $0 \to H^2(\pi;M) \to E_\pi(L) \to Aut(M) \to 0$.

Proof. Let $\theta : [K, L]_K \to H^2(\pi;M)$ be the isomorphism given by $\theta(s) = s^*\iota_{M,2}$, and let $\theta^{-1}(\phi) = s_\phi$ for $\phi \in H^2(\pi;M)$. Then s_ϕ is a homotopy class of sections of c_L, $s_0 = \sigma$ and $s_{\phi+\psi} = \mu(s_\phi, s_\psi)$, while $\phi = s_\phi^*\iota_{M,2}$. (Recall that $\mu : L \times_K L \to L$ is the fibrewise loop multiplication.)

Let $h_\phi = \mu(s_\phi c_L, id_L)$. Then $c_L h_\phi = c_L$ and so $h_\phi \in [L; L]_K$. Clearly $h_0 = \mu(\sigma c_L, id_L) = id_L$ and $h_\phi^*\iota_{M,2} = \iota_{M,2} + c_L^*\phi \in H^2(L;M)$. We also see that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\phi+\psi} &= \mu(\mu(s_\phi, s_\psi)c_L, id_L) \\ &= \mu(\mu(s_\phi c_L, s_\psi c_L), id_L) \\ &= \mu(s_\phi c_L, \mu(s_\psi c_L, id_L)) \end{aligned}$$

(by homotopy associativity of μ) and so

$$h_{\phi+\psi} = \mu(s_\phi c_L, h_\psi) = \mu(s_\phi c_L h_\psi, h_\psi) = h_\phi h_\psi.$$

Therefore h_ϕ is a homotopy equivalence for all $\phi \in H^2(\pi;M)$, and $\phi \mapsto h_\phi$ defines a homomorphism from $H^2(\pi;M)$ to $E_\pi(L)$.

The lift of h_ϕ to the universal cover $\widetilde{L}$ is (non-equivariantly) homotopic to the identity, since the lift of c_L is (non-equivariantly) homotopic to a constant map. Therefore h_ϕ acts as the identity on $M = \pi_2(L)$. □

The homomorphism $h : \phi \mapsto h_\phi$ is in fact an isomorphism onto the kernel of the action of $E_\pi(L)$ on M [39], and the extension splits: $E_\pi(L)$ is isomorphic to a semidirect product $H^2(\pi;M) \rtimes Aut(M)$. (See Corollary 8.2.7 of [4].) More generally, if $P = P_2(X)$, $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ and $\Pi = \pi_2(X)$ then $E_0(P) \cong H^2(\pi;\Pi) \rtimes H$, where H is the subgroup of $Aut_\pi(\Pi) \rtimes Aut(\pi)$ which fixes $k_1(X) \in H^3(\pi;\Pi)$ (see part II of [37]). This if $P = L_\pi(\Pi)$ every automorphism of π lifts to a self-homotopy equivalence of L, and $E_0(L) \cong E_\pi(L) \rtimes Aut(\pi)$.

Let $\Pi = \pi_2(X)$, and let $hwz_q : \pi_q(X) = \pi_q(\widetilde{X}) \to H_q(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z})$ be the Hurewicz homomorphism in dimension q, for all $q \geq 2$. The natural map from $\Pi \odot \Pi$ to $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is given by the Whitehead product $[-,-]$, and there is a natural *Whitehead exact sequence* of left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules

$$\pi_4(X) \xrightarrow{hwz_4} H_4(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{b_X} \Gamma_W(\Pi) \xrightarrow{k_2(\widetilde{X})} \pi_3(X) \xrightarrow{hwz_3} H_3(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z}) \to 0,$$

where b_X is the *secondary boundary homomorphism*, and $k_2(\widetilde{X})$ is the second Postnikov invariant in $H^4(K(\Pi, 2);\pi_3(X)) = Hom(\Gamma_W(\Pi), \pi_3(X))$. (See [43], and Chapters 1 and 2 of [5].)

4. PD_4-COMPLEXES AND INTERSECTION PAIRINGS

Let X be a finitely dominated cell complex, with the natural left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module structure. The equivariant cellular chain complex $C_* = C_*(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ of $\widetilde{X}$ is a complex of left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules, and is $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-chain homotopy equivalent to a finitely generated complex of projective modules. Let $C^q = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(C_q,\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$, for all $q \geq 0$, and let $\Pi = \pi_2(X) \cong H_2(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = H_2(C_*)$. Let $ev : \overline{H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])} \to \Pi^\dagger$ be the evaluation homomorphism, given by $ev([c])([z]) = [c] \cap [z] = c(z)$ for all 2-cycles $z \in C_2$ and 2-cocyles $c \in C^2$. This homomorphism sits in the *evaluation* exact sequence

$$0 \to E^2\mathbb{Z} \to \overline{H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])} \xrightarrow{ev} \Pi^\dagger \to E^3\mathbb{Z} \to \overline{H^3(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}.$$

(See Lemma 3.3 of [25].)

We assume henceforth that X is a PD_4-complex, with orientation character $w = w_1(X)$. Then X is finitely dominated and X is homotopy equivalent to $X_o \cup_\phi e^4$, where X_o is a complex of dimension at most 3 and $\phi \in \pi_3(X_o)$ [42]. In particular, π is finitely presentable. In [26] and [27] cellular decompositions were used to study the homotopy types of PD_4-complexes. Here we shall rely more consistently on the dual Postnikov approach.

Lemma 5. *If π is infinite the homotopy type of X is determined by $P_3(X)$.*

Proof. If X and Y are two such PD_4-complexes and $h : P_3(X) \to P_3(Y)$ is a homotopy equivalence then $hf_{X,3}$ is homotopic to a map $g : X \to Y$. Since π is infinite $H_4(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z}) = H_4(\widetilde{Y};\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Since $\pi_i(g)$ is is an isomorphism for $i \leq 3$ any lift $\tilde{g} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{Y}$ is a homotopy equivalence, by the Hurwicz and Whitehead theorems, and so g is a homotopy equivalence. $\square$

Let $H = \overline{H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$. A choice of generator $[X]$ for $H_4(X;\mathbb{Z}^w) \cong Z$ determines a Poincaré duality isomorphism $D : H \to \Pi$ by $D(u) = u \cap [X]$, for all $u \in H$. Moreover $H^3(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$. The *cohomology intersection pairing* $\lambda : H \times H \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ is defined by $\lambda(u,v) = ev(v)(D(u))$, for all $u, v \in H$. This pairing is w-hermitian: $\lambda(gu, hv) = g\lambda(u,v)\bar{h}$ and $\lambda(v,u) = \overline{\lambda(u,v)}$ for all $u, v \in H$ and $g, h \in \pi$. If X is a closed 4-manifold this pairing is equivalent under Poincaré duality to the equivariant intersection pairing on Π. (See page 82 of [36].) Since $\lambda(u,e) = 0$ for all $u \in H$ and $e \in E = E^2\mathbb{Z}$ the pairing λ induces a pairing $\lambda_X : H/E \times H/E \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$. The adjoint $\widetilde{\lambda_X}$ is a monomorphism, since $\mathrm{Ker}(ev) = E$. The PD_4-complex X is *strongly minimal* if $\lambda_X = 0$.

In [6] it is shown that a PD_4-complex X is determined by its algebraic 2-type (i.e., by $P_2(X)$) together with $f_{X*}[X]$. (The main step involves showing that if $h : P_2(X) \to P_2(Y)$ is a homotopy equivalence such that $h^*w_1(Y) = w_1(X)$ and $h_*f_{X*}[X] = f_{Y*}[Y]$ then $h = P_2(g)$ for some degree-1 map $g : X \to Y$.) Our goal is to show that under suitable conditions X is determined by the more accessible invariants encapsulated in the sextuple $[\pi, w, w_2(X), \Pi, k_1(X), \lambda_X]$. (This is the *quadratic 2-type* of X, as in [19], enhanced by the Stiefel-Whitney classes.) If $\lambda_X \neq 0$ then λ_X determines w, since $\lambda_X(gu, gv) = w(g)g\lambda_X(u,v)g^{-1}$ for all u, v and g.

The next lemma relates nonsingularity of λ_X, projectivity of Π and H/E and conditions on $E^2\mathbb{Z}$ and $E^3\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 6. *Let X be a PD_4-complex with fundamental group π, and let $E = E^2\mathbb{Z}$ and $H = \overline{H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$. Then*

(1) $\lambda_X = 0$ if and only if $H = E$;
(2) if λ_X is nonsingular and H/E is a projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module then $E^\dagger \cong E^3\mathbb{Z}$;
(3) if λ_X is nonsingular and $E^\dagger = 0$ then $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$;
(4) if $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ then λ_X is nonsingular;
(5) if $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ and Π is a projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module then $E = 0$.

Proof. Let $p : \Pi \to \Pi/D(E)$ and $q : H \to H/E$ be the canonical epimorphisms. Poincaré duality induces an isomorphism $\gamma : H/E \cong \Pi/D(E)$. It is straightforward to verify that $p^\dagger(\gamma^\dagger)^{-1}\widetilde{\lambda_X}q = ev$, and (1) is clear.

If λ_X is nonsingular then $\widetilde{\lambda_X}$ is an isomorphism, and so $\mathrm{Coker}(p^\dagger) = \mathrm{Coker}(ev)$. If moreover $\Pi/D(E) \cong H/E$ is projective then $\Pi \cong (\Pi/D(E)) \oplus D(E)$. Hence $\Pi^\dagger \cong (\Pi/D(E))^\dagger \oplus E^\dagger$, and so $E^\dagger \cong \mathrm{Coker}(p^\dagger) = E^3\mathbb{Z}$.

If λ_X is nonsingular and $E^\dagger = 0$ then $\widetilde{\lambda_X}$ and $p^\dagger$ are isomorphisms, and so $ev = p^\dagger(\gamma^\dagger)^{-1}\widetilde{\lambda_X}q$ is an epimorphism. Hence $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$.

If $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ then $H/E = \Pi^\dagger$ and $ev = q$. Since q is an epimorphism it follows that $p^\dagger(\gamma^\dagger)^{-1}\widetilde{\lambda_X} = id_{\Pi^\dagger}$, and so $p^\dagger$ is an epimorphism. Since $p^\dagger$ is also a monomorphism it is an isomorphism. Therefore $\widetilde{\lambda_X} = \gamma^\dagger(p^\dagger)^{-1}$ is also an isomorphism.

If $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ and Π is projective then E is projective, since $\Pi \cong H \cong E \oplus \Pi^\dagger$, and so $E \cong E^{\dagger\dagger} = 0$. □

In particular, if $E^2\mathbb{Z} = 0$ then λ_X is nonsingular if and only if $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ also.

We do not know whether the hypotheses in this lemma can be simplified. For instance, is $(E^2\mathbb{Z})^\dagger$ always 0? Does "Π projective" imply that $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$? Projectivity of $\Pi^\dagger$ and $E^2\mathbb{Z} = 0$ together do not imply that $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$. For if π is a PD_3-group and $w = w_1(\pi)$ then $E^s\mathbb{Z} = 0$ for $s < 3$ and Π is stably isomorphic to the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$, by Theorem 3.13 of [25], and so $\Pi^\dagger$ is stably free. However $E^3\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$.

If Y is a second PD_4-complex we write $\lambda_X \cong \lambda_Y$ if there is an isomorphism $\theta : \pi \cong \pi_1(Y)$ such that $w_1(X) = w_1(Y)\theta$ and a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module isomorphism $\Theta : \pi_2(X) \cong \theta^*\pi_2(Y)$ inducing an isometry of cohomology intersection pairings.

5. MINIMAL MODELS

A map $f : X \to Y$ between PD_4-complexes is a degree-1 map if $f^*w_1(Y) = w_1(X) = w$ and f induces an isomorphism $H_4(X;\mathbb{Z}^w) \cong H_4(Y;\mathbb{Z}^w)$. If $f : X \to Z$ is a 2-connected degree-1 map cap product with $[X]$ induces an isomorphism from the "surgery cokernel" $K^2(f) = \overline{\mathrm{Cok}(H^2(f;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]))}$ to $K_2(f)$, and the induced pairing λ_f on $K^2(f) \times K^2(f)$ is nonsingular, by Theorem 5.2 of [42].

A PD_4-complex Z with fundamental group π is a *model* for a PD_4-complex X if there is a 2-connected degree-1 map $f : X \to Z$. The "surgery kernel" $K_2(f) = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_2(f))$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module, and is an orthogonal direct summand of $\pi_2(X)$ with respect to the intersection pairing, by Theorem 5.2 of [42]. The PD_4-complex X is *order-minimal* if every such map is a homotopy equivalence, i.e., if X is minimal with respect to the order determined by such maps. It is *strongly minimal* if $\lambda_X = 0$, and is *χ-minimal* if $\chi(X) \leq \chi(Y)$, for Y any PD_4-complex with $(\pi_1(Y), w_1(Y)) \cong (\pi, w)$. We then let $q(\pi, w) = \chi(X)$. (The definition of "strongly minimal" used here may be broader than the one used in

[27], where we said that Z was strongly minimal if $\pi_2(Z)^\dagger = 0$. The two definitions are equivalent if $(E^2\mathbb{Z})^\dagger = 0$.) These three notions of minimality are equivalent if $c.d.\pi \leq 2$, by Theorem 25 below. This is the case of greatest interest to us. However, we shall make some observations on the situation for more general fundamental groups.

If $f : X \to Z$ is a 2-connected degree-1 map and Z is strongly minimal then $\lambda_f = \lambda_X$. Strongly minimal PD_4-complexes are clearly order-minimal. If Z is strongly minimal then the classifying map c_Z induces isomorphisms $H^s(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong H^s(Z;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ for $s \leq 2$. If $E^2\mathbb{Z} = E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ and Z is strongly minimal then $\pi_2(Z) = 0$, so $\beta_2(Z;\mathbb{F}_2) = \beta_2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$, and so Z is χ-minimal. It is not yet known whether every strongly minimal PD_4-complex Z is χ-minimal.

If π satisfies the weak Bass conjecture and P is a nontrivial finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module then $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} P \neq 0$. (See page 14 of [25]). It follows that if π satisfies the weak Bass conjecture, $f : X \to Y$ is a 2-connected degree 1 map and $\chi(X) = \chi(Y)$ then f is a homotopy equivalence. Hence $\chi(X)$ minimal implies that X is order-minimal and every sequence of 2-connected degree 1 maps $X \to X_1 \to X_2 \to \dots$ eventually becomes a sequence of homotopy equivalences. The latter condition holds also if $\pi_2(X)$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module. In particular, if $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ and X is χ-minimal then X is order minimal. Moreover, X can only be strongly minimal if $r = 1$, 2 or 4.

A complex X which is order-minimal need not be strongly minimal or χ-minimal, as the following examples show. The 4-dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z}^4$ is the unique PD_4-complex with fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}^4$ and minimal Euler characteristic $q(\mathbb{Z}^4) = 0$. Let K be the 2-complex corresponding to the standard presentation of $\pi = \mathbb{Z}^4$ with four generators and six relators, and let N be a regular neighbourhood of an embedding of K in $\mathbb{R}^5$. Then $M = \partial N$ is an orientable 4-manifold with $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\chi(M) = 6$. If a 2-connected degree 1 map $f : M \to Y$ is not a homotopy equivalence then $\chi(Y) < \chi(M)$ and so $\beta_2(Y) < 12$. Since $c_Y^* H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4;\mathbb{Z})$ has rank 6 it follows easily from Poincaré duality in Y that $c_Y^* H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4;\mathbb{Z})$ cannot be self-annihilating with respect to cup product, and so c_Y has nonzero degree. However $c_{M*}[M] = 0$, since c_M factors through N, and so there can be no such map f. Thus M is order-minimal. However it is not strongly minimal, as $\pi_2(M)$ has rank 6 as a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^4]$-module.

An elementary surgery on $\mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z}^4$ gives an orientable 4-manifold P with $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ realizing the minimal Euler characteristic $\chi(P) = 2$ for this group. As projective $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^3]$-modules are free $\mathbb{Z}^3$ satisfies the weak Bass Conjecture, and so P is order-minimal. However $\pi_2(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^3] \oplus \mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ (where ε is the augmentation), by Theorem 3.12 of [25]. Thus P is not strongly minimal.

It shall be useful to distinguish three "v_2-types" of PD_4-complexes:

(I) $v_2(\widetilde{X}) \neq 0$ (i.e., $v_2(X)$ is not in the image of $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$ under c_X^*);
(II) $v_2(X) = 0$;
(III) $v_2(X) \neq 0$ but $v_2(\widetilde{X}) = 0$ (i.e., $v_2(X)$ is in $c_X^*(H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)) \setminus \{0\}$).

(This trichotomy is due to Kreck, who formulated it in terms of Stiefel-Whitney classes of the stable normal bundle of a closed 4-manifold.) The *refined* v_2-type (II and III) is given by the orbit of v_2 in $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$ under the action of automorphisms of π which fix the orientation character.

A strongly minimal 4-manifold M must be of type II or III, since $\alpha^* v_2(\widetilde{M})$ is the normal Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(\nu_\alpha)$, for α an immersion of S^2 in $\widetilde{M}$ with normal bundle ν_α, and so $v_2(\widetilde{M})([\alpha])$ is the *mod*-2 self-intersection number of $[\alpha] \in \pi_2(M)$. Is there a purely homotopy-theoretic argument showing that all strongly minimal PD_4-complexes are of type II or III? (This is so if $c.d.\pi = 2$, by Theorem 25 below.)

Lemma 7. *Let $f : X \to Z$ be a 2-connected degree-1 map of PD_4-complexes. If X is of type* II *or* III *then so is Z.*

Proof. Since f is 2-connected, $f^* = H^2(f; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is injective and $c_X = gc_zF$, for some self homotopy equivalence g of $K(\pi, 1)$. If $v_2(X) = c_X^* V$ for some $V \in H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$ then

$$f^*(v_2(Z) \cup \alpha) = f^*(\alpha^2) = v_2(X) \cup f^*\alpha = f^*(c_Z^* g^* V \cup \alpha),$$

for all $\alpha \in H^2(Z; \mathbb{F}_2)$. Hence $v_2(Z) = c_Z^* g^* V$. □

The converse is false. For instance, the blowup of a ruled surface is of type I, but its minimal models are of type II or III. See §14 below.

6. EXISTENCE OF STRONGLY MINIMAL MODELS

In this section we shall obtain a criterion for the existence of a strongly minimal model, as a consequence of the following theorem, which may be thought of as a converse to the 4-dimensional case of Wall's Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 8. *Let X be a PD_4-complex and K a finitely generated projective direct summand of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ such that $K \cap E = 0$ and λ_X induces a nonsingular pairing on $K \times K$. Then there is a PD_4-complex Z and a 2-connected degree-1 map $f : X \to Z$ with $K_2(f) = D(K)$.*

Proof. Suppose first that K is stably free and choose maps $m_i : S^2 \to X$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ representing generators of $D(K)$, and such that the kernel of the corresponding epimorphism $m : \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^s \to D(K)$ is free of rank t. Attach s 3-cells to X along the m_i to obtain a cell complex Y with $\pi_1(Y) \cong \pi$, $\pi_2(Y) \cong \Pi/D(K)$ and $H_3(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^t$. Since the Hurewicz map is onto in degree 3 for 1-connected spaces (such as $\widetilde{Y}$) we may then attach t 4-cells to Y along maps whose Hurewicz images form a basis for $H_3(Y, X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ to obtain a cell complex Z with $\pi_1(Z) \cong \pi$ and $\pi_2(Z) \cong \Pi/D(K)$.

If K is not stably free then $K \oplus F \cong F$, where F is free of countable rank, and we first construct Y by attaching countably many 2- and 3-cells to X, and then attach countably many 4-cells to Y to obtain Z as before.

The inclusion $f : X \to Z$ is 2-connected and $\mathrm{Ker}(H_2(f; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])) = D(K)$. Comparison of the equivariant chain complexes for X and Z shows that $H_i(f; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is an isomorphism for all $i \neq 2$, while $H^j(f; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is an isomorphism for all $j \neq 2$ or 3, and $H^2(f; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is a monomorphism. the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence for the cohomology of (Z, X) with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ induces an isomorphism from the summand $K \leq H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ to $H^3(Z, X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(D(K), \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$. Therefore $H^3(Z; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$.

Let $[Z] = f_*[X] \in H_4(Z; \mathbb{Z}^w)$. Cap product with $[Z]$ gives isomorphisms $\overline{H^j(Z; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])} \cong H_{4-j}(Z; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ for $j \neq 2$, by the projection formula $f_*([X] \cap f^*\alpha) = [Z] \cap \alpha$. This is also true when $j = 2$, for then $H^2(f; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ identifies $H^2(Z; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ with the orthogonal complement of K in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$, and $f_*([X] \cap -)$ carries this isomorphically to $H_2(Z; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$. Therefore Z is a PD_4-complex with fundamental class $[Z]$, f has degree 1 and $K_2(f) = D(K)$. □

The main theorem of [23] includes a similar result, for X orientable and K a free module. (See also [26, 27]).

Corollary 9. *The PD_4-complex X has a strongly minimal model if and only if H/E is a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module and λ_X is nonsingular.*

Proof. If $f : X \to Z$ is a 2-connected degree-1 map then $K_2(f)$ is a finitely generated projective direct summand of Π, by Lemma 2.2 of [42], and if Z is strongly minimal $E^\dagger \cong E^3\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $H/E = K_2(f)^\dagger$ is also projective, and so the conditions are necessary. If they hold the construction of Theorem 8 gives a strongly minimal model for X. $\square$

The above conditions hold if $\Pi^\dagger$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module and $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$. In particular, they hold if $c.d.\pi \leq 2$, by an elementary argument using Schanuel's Lemma and duality. (See Theorem 25 below).

Lemma 10. *Let $f : X \to Z$ be a 2-connected degree-1 map of PD_4-complexes with fundamental group π such that $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$. Then the homomorphism $f_\# : H^3(\pi; \Pi) \to H^3(\pi; \pi_2(Z))$ induced by f is an isomorphism, and $k_1(Z) = f_\#(k_1(X))$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows easily from the fact that $\pi_2(f)$ is an epimorphism with kernel $K_2(f)$ a finitely generated projective direct summand of $\Pi = \pi_2(X)$ and the hypothesis $H^3(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$, which implies that $H^3(\pi; K_2(f)) = 0$.

The first k-invariant of a complex X may be identified with the class in $H^3(\pi; \Pi) = Ext^3_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\mathbb{Z}, \Pi)$ given by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Pi \to C_2/\partial C_3 \to C_1 \to C_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Under this interpretation it is clear that $k_1(Z) = f_\#(k_1(X))$. $\square$

The necessary condition $(E^2\mathbb{Z})^\dagger \cong E^3\mathbb{Z}$ is far from characterizing the groups π which are the fundamental groups of strongly minimal PD_4-complexes. In Sections 9–14 we shall determine such groups within certain subclasses. In all cases considered, π has finitely many ends (i.e., $E^1\mathbb{Z}$ is finitely generated) and $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$.

Lemma 11. *Let Z be a PD_4-complex, and let Z_ρ be the covering space associated to a subgroup ρ of finite index in $\pi = \pi_1(Z)$. Then Z is strongly minimal if and only if Z_ρ is strongly minimal.*

Proof. Let $\Pi = \pi_2(Z)$. Then $\pi_2(Z_\rho) \cong \Pi|_\rho$. Moreover, $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])|_\rho \cong H^2(\rho; \mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ and $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])|_\rho \cong Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\rho]}(\Pi|_\rho, \mathbb{Z}[\rho])$, as right $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$-modules, since $[\pi : \rho]$ is finite. The lemma follows from these observations. $\square$

7. REDUCTION

The main result of this section implies that when a PD_4-complex X has a strongly minimal model Z its homotopy type is determined by Z and λ_X. Our present arguments require also that $k_1(Z) = 0$, so that we may identify $P_2(X)$ and $P_2(Y)$ with $P = L_\pi(\Pi, 2)$, and thus use results of [37] on realizing automorphisms by self homotopy equivalences.

Lemma 12. *Let $\beta_\xi = B_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(b_{(\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n}(\xi))$, for $\xi \in H_4((\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z})$, and let G be a group. Let $u = \Sigma u_g g$ and $v = \Sigma v_h h \in H^2((\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z}[G]) \cong H^2((\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Then $v(u \cap \xi) = \Sigma_{g,h \in G} \beta_\xi(u_g, v_h) g\bar{h}$, for all such u, v and ξ.*

Proof. As each side of the equation is linear in ξ and $H_4((\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n;\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the images of homomorphisms induced by maps from $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$ or $(\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^2$, it suffices to assume $n=1$ or 2. Since moreover each side of the equation is bilinear in u and v we may reduce to the case $G=1$. As these functions have integral values and $2(x\otimes y)=(x+y)\otimes(x+y)-x\otimes x-y\otimes y$ in $H_4((\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^2;\mathbb{Z})$, for all $x,y\in\Pi\cong Z^2$, we may reduce further to the case $n=1$, which is easy. □

Lemma 13. *Let M be a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module and $L=L_\pi(M,2)$. The secondary boundary homomorphism b_L determines an epimorphism b' from $H_4(L;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ to $\mathbb{Z}^w\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}\Gamma_W(M)$ such that*

$$B_M(b'(x))(u,v)=v(u\cap x)\quad\text{for all}\quad u,v\in M^\dagger\quad\text{and}\quad x\in H_4(L;\mathbb{Z}^w).$$

Proof. The homomorphism from $H_4(L;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ to $H_4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ induced by c_L is an a epimorphism, since c_L has a section σ. Since $\widetilde{L}\simeq K(M,2)$ the homomorphism $b_{\widetilde{L}}$ is an isomorphism and $H_3(\widetilde{L};\mathbb{Z})=0$, while since M is projective $H_p(\pi;M)=0$ for all $p>0$. Therefore it follows from the Cartan-Leray spectral sequence of the covering $\widetilde{L}\to L$ that the kernel of the epimorphism induced by c_L is $\mathbb{Z}^w\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}H_4(\widetilde{L};\mathbb{Z})$. Let $b'(x)=(1\otimes b_{\widetilde{L}})(x-\sigma_*c_{L*}(x))$ for all $x\in H_4(L;\mathbb{Z}^w)$. Then b' is an epimorphism onto $\mathbb{Z}^w\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}\Gamma_W(M)$.

Let $x\in H_4(L;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ and $u,v\in M^\dagger\cong H^2(L;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$. Since M is the union of its finitely generated free abelian subgroups and homology commutes with direct limits there is an $n>0$ and a map $k:(\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n\to\widetilde{L}$ such that $b'(x)$ is the image of $k_*(\xi)$ for some $\xi\in H_4((\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n;\mathbb{Z})$. Then $B_M(b'(x))(u,v)=ev_M(k_*\xi)(u,v)$.

Suppose that $k^*u=\Sigma u_g g$ and $k^*v=\Sigma v_h h$ in $H^2((\mathbb{CP}^\infty)^n;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$. Then we have $ev_M(k_*\xi)(u,v)=\Sigma_{g,h\in G}\beta_\xi(u_g,v_h)g\bar{h}$, which is equal to $v(u\cap k_*\xi)=k^*v(k^*u\cap\xi)$, by Lemma 12. Now $x=k_*\xi+\sigma^*u\cap c_{L*}x$ and $u\cap\sigma_*c_{L*}x=\sigma_*(\sigma^*u\cap c_{L*}x)=0$, since $H_2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])=0$. Hence $B_M(b'(x))(u,v)=v(u\cap x)$, for all $u,v\in M^\dagger$ and $x\in H_4(L;\mathbb{Z}^w)$. □

Theorem 14. *Let $g_X:X\to Z$ and $g_Y:Y\to Z$ be 2-connected degree-1 maps of PD_4-complexes, and suppose that $w=w_1(Z)$ is trivial on elements of order 2 in $\pi=\pi_1(Z)$. If $k_1(X)=k_1(Y)=0$ then there is a homotopy equivalence $h:X\to Y$ such that $g_Yh=g_X$ if and only if $\lambda_{g_X}\cong\lambda_{g_Y}$.*

Proof. The condition $\lambda_{g_X}\cong\lambda_{g_Y}$ is clearly necessary. Suppose that it holds. Then $\pi_2(Y)\cong\pi_2(X)\cong\Pi=M\oplus N$, where $M=K_2(g_X)$ is projective and $N=\pi_2(Z)$, and we may identify $M^\dagger$ with a direct summand of $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$, by Lemma 2.2 of [42]. We may assume that the isomorphisms are chosen so that $\pi_2(g_X)$ and $\pi_2(g_Y)$ correspond to projection onto the second factor, and so that $\lambda_{g_Y}=\lambda_{g_X}$ as pairings on $M\times M$. We may also assume that $M\neq 0$, for otherwise g_X and g_Y are homotopy equivalences.

Let $P=P_2(X)$, and let $g:P\to P_2(Z)$ be the map corresponding to $\pi_2(g_X)$, so that $f_Zg_X=gf_X$. Then $P_2(Y)\simeq P\simeq L_\pi(\Pi,2)$, since $k_1(X)=k_1(Y)=0$, and so $f_Zg_Y=g'f_Y$ for some $g':P\to P_2(X)$. The group of based self homotopy equivalences of $L_\pi(\Pi,2)$ maps onto $Aut(\pi)$, with kernel $E_\pi(L_\pi(\Pi,2))\cong H^2(\pi;\Pi)\rtimes Aut(\Pi)$ [37]. After composing f_Y with a self homotopy equivalence of P, if necessary, we may assume that $g'=g$. The map g is a fibration with fibre $K(M,2)$, and the inclusion of N into $\Pi=M\oplus N$ determines a section s for g.

The splitting $\Pi=M\oplus N$ also determines a projection $q:P\to L=L_\pi(M,2)$. We may construct L by adjoining 3-cells to X to kill the kernel of projection from

Π onto M and then adjoining higher dimensional cells to kill the higher homotopy. Let $j : X \to L$ be the inclusion. Then $B_M(b'(j_*[X]))(u,v) = v(u \cap j_*[X])$ for all $u, v \in M^\dagger$, by Lemma 13. Using the projection formula and identifying $M^\dagger = H^2(L;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ with $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ we may equate this with $\lambda_{g_X}(u,v)$. Hence $f_{X*}[X]$ and $f_{Y*}[Y]$ have the same image $\lambda_{g_X} = \lambda_{g_Y}$ in $Her_w(M^\dagger)$.

Since $P_2(Z)$ is a retract of P comparison of the Cartan-Leray spectral sequences for the classifying maps c_P and $c_{P_2(Z)}$ shows that

$$\mathrm{Cok}(H_4(s;\mathbb{Z}^w)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} (\Gamma_W(\Pi)/\Gamma_W(N)).$$

Since π has no orientation reversing element of order 2 the homomorphism B_M is injective, by Theorem 2, and therefore since $\lambda_{g_X} = \lambda_{g_Y}$ the images of $f_{X*}[X]$ and $f_{Y*}[Y]$ in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} (\Gamma_W(\Pi)/\Gamma_W(N))$ differ by an element of the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} (M \otimes N)$. Let $c \in M \otimes N$ represent this difference, and let $\gamma \in \Gamma_W(M)$ represent $b'(f_{X*}[X])$. Since $B_M(1 \otimes \gamma) = \lambda_{g_X}$ is nonsingular $\widetilde{B_M}(\gamma)$ is surjective, and so we may choose a homomorphism $\theta : M \to N$ such that $(\widetilde{B_M}(\gamma) \otimes 1)(t^{-1}(\theta)) = (d \otimes 1)(c)$. Hence $\Gamma_W(\alpha_\theta)(\gamma) - \gamma \equiv c \bmod \Gamma_W(N)$, by Lemma 3. Let $P(\theta)$ be the corresponding self homotopy equivalence of P. Then $gP(\theta) = g$ and $P(\theta)_* f_{Y*}[Y] = f_{X*}[X]$ *mod* $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(N)$. Since $g_{X*}[X] = g_{Y*}[Y]$ in $H_4(Z;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ and hence $(gf_X)_*[X] = (gf_Y)_*[Y]$ in $H_4(P_2(Z);\mathbb{Z}^w)$ it follows that $P(\theta)_* f_{Y*}[Y] = f_{X*}[X]$ in $H_4(P;\mathbb{Z}^w)$.

There is then a map $h : X \to Y$ with $f_Y h = f_X$, by the argument of Lemma 1.3 of [19]. Let X^+ and Y^+ be the orientable covering spaces corresponding to $\mathrm{Ker}(w)$. Then h lifts to a map $h^+ : X^+ \to Y^+$. Since f_X and f_Y are 3-connected $\pi_1(h^+)$, $\pi_2(h^+)$ and $H_2(h^+;\mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphisms. Since M is projective and nonzero $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathrm{Ker}(w)} M$ is a nontrivial torsion-free direct summand of $H_2(X^+;\mathbb{Z})$, and so h^+ has degree 1, by Poincaré duality with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence h^+ is a homotopy equivalence, and therefore so is h. □

The argument for Theorem 14 breaks down when $\pi = Z/2Z$ and w is nontrivial, for then $B_M : \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(M) \to Her_w(M^\dagger)$ is no longer injective, and the intersection pairing is no longer a complete invariant [20]. Thus the condition on 2-torsion is in general necessary.

Can the hypothesis $k_1(X) = k_1(Y) = 0$ be relaxed or dropped completely? If $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ then we may identify $k_1(X)$ and $k_1(Y)$, by Lemma 10.

Corollary 15. *If X has a strongly minimal model Z, π has no 2-torsion and $k_1(X) = 0$ the homotopy type of X is determined by Z and λ_X.* □

Corollary 16. [23] *If $g : X \to Z$ is a 2-connected degree-1 map of PD_4-complexes such that $w_1(Z)$ is trivial on elements of order 2 in $\pi_1(Z)$ and $k_1(X) = 0$ then X is homotopy equivalent to $M\#Z$ with M 1-connected if and only if λ_g is extended from a nonsingular pairing over $\mathbb{Z}$.* □

The result of [23] assumes that X is orientable, π is infinite and either $E^2\mathbb{Z} = 0$ or π acts trivially on $\pi_2(Z)$. (Since π is infinite the latter condition implies that Z is strongly minimal.)

Corollary 17. *Let π be a finitely presentable group with no 2-torsion and such that $E^2\mathbb{Z} = E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$, and let $w : \pi \to \mathbb{Z}^\times$ be a homomorphism. Then two PD_4-complexes X and Y with fundamental group π, $w_1(X) = c_X^* w$, $w_1(Y) = c_Y^* w$ and $\pi_2(X)$ and $\pi_2(Y)$ projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules are homotopy equivalent if and only if*

(1) $c_{X*}[X] = \pm g^* c_{Y*}[Y]$ *in* $H_4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}^w)$*, for some* $g \in Aut(\pi)$ *with* $wg = w$*; and*
(2) $\lambda_X \cong \lambda_Y$ *(after changing the sign of* $[Y]$*, if necessary).*

Proof. The hypotheses imply that X and Y have strongly minimal models Z_X and Z_Y with $\pi_2(Z_X) = \pi_2(Z_Y) = 0$, and hence $P_2(Z_X) \simeq P_2(Z_Y) \simeq K(\pi, 1)$. Moreover $H^3(\pi;\Pi) = 0$, since $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$, and so the result follows by the argument of Theorem 14. □

In particular, $Z_X \simeq Z_Y$. If π also has one end then the minimal model is aspherical. See Theorem 23 below.

8. REALIZATION OF PAIRINGS

In this short section we shall show that if Z is a strongly minimal PD_4-complex and $\mathrm{Ker}(w)$ has no element of order 2 every nonsingular w-hermitean pairing on a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module is realized as λ_X for some PD_4-complex X with minimal model Z. This is an immediate consequence of the following stronger result.

Theorem 18. *Let* Z *be a* PD_4*-complex with fundamental group* π *and let* $w = w_1(Z)$*. Assume that* $\mathrm{Ker}(w)$ *has no element of order* 2*. Let* N *be a finitely generated projective* $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$*-module and* Λ *be a nonsingular* w*-hermitean pairing on* $N^\dagger$*. Then there is a* PD_4*-complex* X *and a* 2*-connected degree-*1 *map* $f : X \to Z$ *such that* $\lambda_f \cong \Lambda$*.*

Proof. Suppose $N \oplus F_1 \cong F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules with countable bases I and J, respectively. (These may be assumed finite if N is stably free.) We may assume $Z = Z_o \cup_\theta e^4$ is obtained by attaching a single 4-cell to a 3-complex Z_o, by Lemma 2.9 of [42]. Construct a 3-complex X_o with $\pi_2(X_o) \cong \pi_2(Z_o) \oplus N$ by attaching J 3-cells to $Z_o \vee (\vee^I S^2)$, along sums of translates under π of the 2-spheres in $\vee^I S^2$, as in Theorem 8. Let $i : Z_o \to X_o$ be the natural inclusion. Collapsing $\vee^I S^2$ gives $X_o/\vee^I S^2 \simeq Z_o \vee (\vee^J S^3)$, and so there is a retraction $q : X_o \to Z_o$. Let $p : \Pi = \pi_2(X_o) \to N$ be the projection with kernel $\mathrm{Im}(\pi_2(i))$, and let $j : X_o \to L = L_\pi(N, 2)$ be the corresponding map. Then $\pi_2(ji) = 0$ and so ji factors through $K(\pi, 1)$. The map $B_N : \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(N) \to Her_w(N^\dagger)$ is an epimorphism, by Theorem 2. Therefore we may choose $\psi \in \pi_3(X_o)$ so that $B_N([j(\psi)]) = \Lambda$.

Let $\phi = \psi - iq\psi + i\theta$. Then $q\phi = \theta$ and $j(\phi) = j(\psi)$, so $B_N([j(\phi)]) = \Lambda$. Let $X = X_o \cup_\phi D^4$. The retraction q extends to a map $f : X \to Z$. Comparison of the exact sequences for these pairs shows that f induces isomorphisms on homology and cohomology in degrees $\neq 2$. In particular, $H_4(X;\mathbb{Z}^w) \cong H_4(Z;\mathbb{Z}^w)$. Let $[X] = f_*^{-1}[Z]$. Then $f_*(f^*(\alpha) \cap [X]) = \alpha \cap [Z]$ for all cohomology classes α on Z, by the projection formula. Therefore cap product with $[X]$ induces the Poincaré duality isomorphisms for Z in degrees other than 2. As it induces an isomorphism $\overline{H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])} \cong H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$, by the assumption on Λ, X_ϕ is a PD_4-complex with $\lambda_X \cong \Lambda$. □

9. SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS AND MAPPING TORI

The cases with fundamental group a free group are well-understood [26]. The second class of groups for which the minimal models are known are the semidirect products $\nu \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ with ν finitely presentable.

Theorem 19. *Let ν be a finitely presentable group and let X be a finite PD_4-complex with $\pi_1(X)\cong\pi=\nu\rtimes_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$, for some automorphism α of ν. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) X is the mapping torus of a self homotopy equivalence of a PD_3-complex N with $\pi_1(N)=\nu$;
(2) X is strongly minimal;
(3) $\chi(X)=0$.

Proof. Let X_ν be the covering space of X corresponding to ν. Then X_ν is the homotopy fibre of a map from X to S^1 which corresponds to the projection of π onto $\mathbb{Z}$, and $H_q(X_\nu;k)=0$ for $q>3$ and all coefficients k. The LHS spectral sequence gives an isomorphism $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])|_\nu\cong H^1(\nu;\mathbb{Z}[\nu])$ of right $\mathbb{Z}[\nu]$-modules. Since ν is finitely presentable it is accessible, and hence $H^1(\nu;\mathbb{Z}[\nu])$ is finitely generated as a right $\mathbb{Z}[\nu]$-module. (See Theorems VI.6.3 and IV.7.5 of [13].)

Suppose first that X is the mapping torus of a self homotopy equivalence of a PD_3-complex N. Since $\pi_2(X)|_\nu=\pi_2(N)\cong\overline{H^1(\nu;\mathbb{Z}[\nu])}$ is finitely generated as a left $\mathbb{Z}[\nu]$-module, $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\pi_2(X),\mathbb{Z}[\pi])=0$, and so X is strongly minimal.

If X is strongly minimal then $\pi_2(X)\cong\overline{H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}=\overline{H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$. Since $\beta_q(\nu;\mathbb{F}_2)<\infty$ for $q\le 2$ and $\pi_2(X_\nu)=\pi_2(X)|_\nu$ is finitely generated as a left $\mathbb{Z}[\nu]$-module $\beta_q(X_\nu;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is finite for $q\le 2$. Poincaré duality in X gives an isomorphism $H_3(X_\nu;\mathbb{F}_2)\cong H^1(X;\mathbb{F}_2[\pi/\nu])=\mathbb{F}_2$. Hence $\beta_q(X_\nu;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is finite for all q, and so $\chi(X)=0$, by a Wang sequence argument applied to the fibration $X_\nu\to X\to S^1$.

If $\chi(X)=0$ then X is a mapping torus of a self homotopy equivalence of a PD_3-complex N with $\pi_1(N)=\nu$. (See Chapter 4 of [25].) □

The condition that ν be the fundamental group of a PD_3-complex is quite restrictive. Mapping tori of self homotopy equivalences of PD_3-complexes are always strongly minimal, but other PD_4-complexes with such groups may have no strongly minimal model. (See §5 above for an example with $\pi=\mathbb{Z}^4$.)

The latter problem does not arise if $\nu=F(s)$ is a finitely generated free group.

Theorem 20. *Let $\pi=F(s)\rtimes_\alpha\mathbb{Z}$, where $s>0$, and let $w:\pi\to\mathbb{Z}^\times$ be a homomorphism. Then the strongly minimal PD_4-complexes X with $\pi_1(X)\cong\pi$ and $w_1(X)=c_X^*w$ are homotopy equivalent to mapping tori, and their homotopy types may be distinguished by classes in $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Proof. A PD_3-complex N with fundamental group $F(s)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\#^s(S^2\times S^1)$ (if it is orientable) or $\#^s(S^2\tilde{\times}S^1)$ (otherwise). There is a natural representation of $Aut(F(s))$ by isotopy classes of based homeomorphisms of N, and the group of based self homotopy equivalences $E_0(N)$ is a semidirect product $D\rtimes Aut(F(s))$, where D is generated by Dehn twists about nonseparating 2-spheres. We may identify D with $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^s=H^1(F(s);\mathbb{F}_2)$, and then $E_0(N)=(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^s\rtimes Aut(F(s))$, with the natural action of $Aut(F(s))$ [24].

Thus a strongly minimal PD_4-complex$X$ with $\pi_1(X)\cong\pi$. is homotopic to the mapping torus $M(f)$ of a based self-homeomorphism f of such an N, with $w_1(N)=w|_{F(s)}$, and f has image (d,α) in $E_0(N)$. Let $\delta(f)$ be the image of d in $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)=H^1(F(s);\mathbb{F}_2)/(\alpha-1)H^1(F(s);\mathbb{F}_2)$. If g is another based self-homeomorphism of N with image (d',α) and $\delta(g)=\delta(f)$ then $d-d'=(\alpha-1)(e)$ for some $e\in D$. Hence (d,α) and (d',α) are conjugate, and so $M(g)\simeq M(f)$, since two such mapping tori are orientation-preserving homeomorphic if the homotopy classes of the defining self-homeomorphisms are conjugate in $E_0(N)$, by [24]. $\square$

In fact the detecting class is the refined v_2-type. If $\pi = F(s) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ (with $s > 0$) then π has one end and $c.d.\pi = 2$. This broader class of groups is the focus of the final third of this paper. In Theorem 25 and its Corollary it is shown that all strongly minimal PD_4-complexes X with $\pi_1(X) = \pi$ such a group and $w_1(X) = w$ have the same Postnikov 2-stage $L = P_2(X)$, all have v_2-type II or III, and that there is such a PD_4-complex X with $v_2(X) = V$, for every $V \in H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$. In Theorem 36 it is shown that the orbits of the possible k-invariants in $H^4(L; \Gamma_W(\Pi))$ under the actions of $E_0(L)$ and $Aut(\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ correspond bijectively to the orbits of the action of $Aut(\pi)$ on $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$, provided a technical algebraic condition holds.

If $\beta_1(\pi) > 1$ then N may not be determined by $M(f)$. For instance if $N = S^2 \tilde{\times} S^1$ then $M(id_N) = N \times S^1$ is also the mapping torus of an orientation reversing self homeomorphism of $S^2 \times S^1$. It is a remarkable fact that if $\pi = F(s) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, $s > 1$ and $\beta_1(\pi) \geq 2$ then π is such a semidirect product for infinitely many distinct values of s [9]. However this does not affect our present considerations.

10. STRONGLY MINIMAL MODELS WITH π FINITE

If π is finite or has two ends then $E^2\mathbb{Z} = E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$. Therefore a PD_4-complex Z with fundamental group π is strongly minimal if and only if $\pi_2(Z) = 0$. A PD_4-complex X with fundamental group π has such a model Z if and only if Π is a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module, by part (4) of Lemma 6 and Theorem 8.

If π is finite and $\pi_2(Z) = 0$ then $Z \simeq S^4$ or $\mathbb{RP}^4$. (See Lemma 12.1 of [25].) As every orientable PD_n-complex admits a degree-1 map to S^n, we may assume that $\pi = Z/2Z$.

Theorem 21. *Let X be a PD_4-complex with $\pi_1(X) = Z/2Z$ and let $w = w_1(X)$. Then $\mathbb{RP}^4$ is a model for X if and only if $w^4 \neq 0$.*

Proof. The condition is clearly necessary. Conversely, we may assume that $X = X_o \cup e^4$ is obtained by attaching a single 4-cell to a 3-complex X_o, by Lemma 2.9 of [42]. The map $c_X : X \to \mathbb{RP}^\infty = K(Z/2Z, 1)$ factors through a map $f : X \to \mathbb{RP}^4$, and $w = f^* w_1(\mathbb{RP}^4)$, since $w \neq 0$. The degree of f is well-defined up to sign, and is odd since $w^4 \neq 0$. We may arrange that f is a degree-1 map, after modifying f on the top cell, as in [34], if necessary. $\square$

The two $\mathbb{RP}^2$-bundles over S^2 provide contrasting examples. If $X = S^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$ then $w^3 = 0$ and $\Pi \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^w$, which has no nontrivial projective $\mathbb{Z}[Z/2Z]$-module summand. Thus $S^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$ is minimal but not strongly minimal. On the other hand, if X is the nontrivial bundle space then $w^4 \neq 0$ and $\Pi \cong \mathbb{Z}[Z/2Z]$.

It is well known that the (oriented) homotopy type of a 1-connected PD_4-complex is determined by its intersection pairing and that every such pairing is realized by some 1-connected topological 4-manifold. (See page 161 of [17]). Nonorientable topological 4-manifolds with fundamental group $Z/2Z$ are classified up to homeomorphism in [20], and it is shown there that the homotopy types are determined by the Euler characteristic, w^4, the v_2-type and an Arf invariant (for v_2-type III). The authors remark that their methods show that λ_X together with a quadratic enhancement $q : \Pi \to Z/4Z$ due to [30] is also a complete invariant for the homotopy type of such a manifold.

11. STRONGLY MINIMAL MODELS WITH π TWO-ENDED

If π has two ends and $\pi_2(Z) = 0$ then π is an extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ or the infinite dihedral group $D_\infty = Z/2Z * Z/2Z$ by a finite normal subgroup F and $\widetilde{Z} \simeq S^3$. Finite subgroups of π have cohomological period dividing 4 and act trivially on $\pi_3(Z) \cong H_3(Z;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$, while the action $u : \pi \to \{\pm 1\} = Aut(\pi_3(Z))$ induces the usual action of π/F on $H^4(F;\mathbb{Z})$. The action u and the orientation character $w_1(Z)$ determine each other, and every such group π and action u is realized by some PD_4-complex Z with $\pi_2(Z) = 0$. The homotopy type of Z is determined by π, u and the first nontrivial k-invariant in $H^4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}^u)$. (See Chapter 11 of [25].)

We shall use Farrell cohomology to show that any PD_4-complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi$ satisfying corresponding conditions has a strongly minimal model. We refer to the final chaper of [8] for more information on Farrell cohomology.

It is convenient to use the following notation. If R is a noetherian ring and M is a finitely generated R-module let $\Omega^1 M = \mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$, where $\phi : R^n \to M$ is any epimorphism, and define $\Omega^k M$ for $k > 1$ by iteration, so that $\Omega^{n+1}M = \Omega^1\Omega^n M$. We shall say that two finitely generated R-modules M_1 and M_2 are projectively equivalent ($M_1 \simeq M_2$) if they are isomorphic up to direct sums with a finitely generated projective module. Then these "syzygy modules" $\Omega^k M$ are finitely generated, and are well-defined up to projective equivalence, by Schanuel's Lemma.

Theorem 22. *Let X be a PD_4-complex such that $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ has two ends. Then X has a strongly minimal model if and only if π and the action u of π on $H_3(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ are realized by some PD_4-complex Z with $\pi_2(Z) = 0$, and then Z is determined by X.*

Proof. If $\pi_2(Z) = 0$ then $\widetilde{Z} \simeq S^3$, by Poincaré duality and the Hurewicz and Whitehead Theorems, and the conditions on π are necessary, by Theorem 11.1 and Lemma 11.3 of [25].

Conversely, since π is virtually infinite cyclic the condition imples that the Farrell cohomology of π has period dividing 4 [15]. The chain complex C_* for $\widetilde{X}$ gives rise to four exact sequences:

$$0 \to Z_2 \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to Z_3 \to C_3 \to B_2 \to 0,$$

$$0 \to B_2 \to Z_2 \to \Pi \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to C_4 \to Z_3 \to \mathbb{Z}^u \to 0.$$

We may assume that C_* is a complex of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules. Then the modules B_2, Z_2 Z_3 and Π are finitely generated, since $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ is noetherian. It is clear that $Z_2 \simeq \Omega^3\mathbb{Z}$ and $Z_3 \simeq \Omega^1 B_2$, while $\Omega^1 Z_3 \simeq \Omega^1(\mathbb{Z}^u)$. The standard construction of a resolution of the middle term of a short exact sequence from resolutions of its extremes, applied to the third sequence, gives a projective equivalence $\Omega^1 Z_2 \simeq \Omega^1 B_2 \oplus \Omega^1\Pi$. The corresponding sequences for a strongly minimal complex with the same group π and action u give an equivalence $\Omega^1(\mathbb{Z}^u) \simeq \Omega^1(\Omega^4\mathbb{Z})$. (This is in turn equivalent to $\Omega^1\mathbb{Z}$, by periodicity.) Together these equivalences give

$$\Omega^5\mathbb{Z} \simeq \Omega^2 Z_2 \simeq \Omega^2 B_2 \oplus \Omega^2\Pi \simeq \Omega^1 Z_3 \oplus \Omega^2\Pi \simeq \Omega^5\mathbb{Z} \oplus \Omega^2\Pi.$$

Therefore $Ext^q_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Omega^5\mathbb{Z},N) \cong Ext^q_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Omega^5\mathbb{Z},N) \oplus Ext^q_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Omega^2\Pi,N)$, for all $q > v.c.d.\pi = 1$, and any $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module N. If N is finitely generated so is $Ext^q_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Omega^1\mathbb{Z},N)$, and so $Ext^{q+2}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,N) = Ext^q_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Omega^2\Pi,N) = 0$, for all $q > 1$. Since Π is finitely generated $Ext^r_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,-)$ commutes with direct limits and so is 0, for all $r > 3$. Therefore Π has finite projective dimension, by Theorem X.5.3 of [8]. There is a Universal Coefficient Spectral Sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = Ext^q_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(H_p(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]),\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]).$$

Here $E_2^{pq} = 0$ unless $p = 0$, 2 or 3, and $E_2^{0q} = E_2^{3q} = 0$ if $q > 1$, since π is virtually infinite cyclic and $\Omega^1(\mathbb{Z}^u) \simeq \Omega^1\mathbb{Z}$. It follows easily from this spectral sequence and Poincaré duality that $Ext^s_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$ for all $s \geq 1$. Since Π also has finite projective dimension it is projective. Hence X has a strongly minimal model, by Theorem 8.

As in Lemma 10, the first nontrivial k-invariant of the minimal model Z may be identified with the class κ in $H^4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}^u) = Ext^4_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}^u)$ given by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}^u \to C_3/\partial C_4 \oplus \Pi \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Since the homotopy type of Z is determined by π, u and κ the final assertion follows. □

Thus for instance, an orientable PD_4-complex with fundamental group D_∞ does not have a strongly minimal model.

12. Strongly minimal models with π one-ended and $\pi_2 = 0$

Let Z be a PD_4-complex with $\pi_2(Z) = 0$. Then Z is strongly minimal and $E^2\mathbb{Z} = E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$. If moreover π has one end then $\widetilde{Z}$ is contractible and so π must be a PD_4-group and $Z \simeq K(\pi,1)$. (Conversely an aspherical PD_4-complex is clearly strongly minimal).

Theorem 23. *Let X be a PD_4-complex whose fundamental group π is a PD_4-group, and let $\Pi = \pi_2(X)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *X has a strongly minimal model;*
2. *$w_1(X) = c_X^*w_1(\pi)$ and c_X is a degree-1 map;*
3. *Π is a projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module;*
4. *$w_1(X) = c_X^*w_1(\pi)$ and $k_1(X) = 0$.*

Proof. The PD_4-complex $K = K(\pi,1)$ is the unique strongly minimal complex with fundamental group π, and any 2-connected degree-1 map $f : X \to K$ is homotopic to c_X (up to composition with a self homotopy equivalence of K). Thus (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). If c_X is a degree-1 map then $\Pi = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_2(c_X))$ is projective [42], and so (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). If Π is projective the Universal Coefficient spectral sequence gives an isomorphism $c_X^* : H^4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong H^4(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$, and so $w_1(X) = c_X^*w_1(\pi)$, since the right module structures on these modules are given by the orientation characters. The w-twisted augmentation induces isomorphisms $H^4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong H^4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ and $H^4(X;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong H^4(X;\mathbb{Z}^w)$. Kronecker duality for X and π now show that c_X is a degree-1 map, so (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2).

The implication (3) $\to$ (4) is immediate, as $H^q(\pi;P) = 0$ for any projective module P and $q \neq 4$. Hence $k_1(X)$ lies in a trivial group.

Suppose (4) holds. We may assume that $K = K_o \cup e^4$ and $X = X_o \cup e^4$, where K_o and X_o are 3-complexes. Since $k_1(X) = 0$ the map $c_P : P = P_2(X) \to K$ has a section s. The restriction $s|_{K_o}$ factors through X_o, by cellular approximation, since $P = X_o \cup \{cells\ of\ dim \geq 4\}$. Thus K_o is a retract of X_o. The map c_X induces a commuting diagram of homomorphisms between the long exact sequences of the pairs (X, X_o) and (K, K_o), with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$. Hence the induced map from $H_4(X, X_0; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ to $H_4(K, K_0; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is an isomorphism. This homomorphism is one side of a commuting square whose opposide side the the corresponding map with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}^w$. The other sides are epimorphisms, induced by the w-twisted augmentation. It now follows easily that c_X has degree 1. $\square$

The next result follows immediately from Corollary 16 and Theorem 23.

Corollary 24. *Let X and Y be PD_4-complexes with fundamental group a PD_4-group π, and such that $\pi_2(X)$ and $\pi_2(Y)$ are projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules, $w_1(X) = c_X^* w$ and $w_1(Y) = c_Y^* w$, where $w = w_1(\pi)$. Then X and Y are homotopy equivalent if and only if $c_{X*}[X] = \pm g^* c_{Y*}[Y]$ in $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$, for some $g \in Aut(\pi)$ such that $wg = w$, and $\lambda_X \cong \lambda_Y$, after changing the sign of $[Y]$, if necessary.* $\square$

This corollary and the equivalence of (2) and (4) in the Theorem are from [10]. (It is assumed there that X and π are orientable.) Theorems 23 and 14 give an alternative proof of the main result of [10], namely that a PD_4-complex X with fundamental group π a PD_4-group and $w_1(X) = w_1(\pi)$ is homotopy equivalent to $M \# K(\pi, 1)$ with M 1-connected if and only if $k_1(X) = 0$ and λ_X is extended from a nonsingular pairing over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Connected sums of complexes with $\pi_2 = 0$ again have $\pi_2 = 0$, and the fundamental groups of such connected sums usually have infinitely many ends. If Z is such a complex Poincaré duality gives $\pi_3(Z) \cong E^1\mathbb{Z}$, and the homotopy type of Z is determined by π, w and the first nontrivial k-invariant, which is now in $H^4(\pi; \pi_3(Z))$. The most interesting special case is when π is a nonabelian free group.

If Z is strongly minimal and $E^2\mathbb{Z}$ is finitely generated but not 0 then $E^2\mathbb{Z}$ is infinite cyclic [7] and the kernel κ of the natural action of π on $\pi_2(Z) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is a PD_2-group, by Theorem 10.1 of [25]. Thus π is either a PD_2-group or is a semidirect product $\kappa \rtimes (Z/2Z)$. (In particular, π has one end). The argument of Theorem 22 can be adapted to show that any PD_4-complex with such a fundamental group has a strongly minimal model.

13. GROUPS OF COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION ≤ 2

Suppose now that π is finitely presentable and $c.d.\pi \leq 2$. In this case we may drop the qualification "strongly", by the following theorem.

Theorem 25. *Let X be a PD_4-complex with fundamental group π such that $c.d.\pi \leq 2$, and let $w = w_1(X)$. Then*

(1) $C_*(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-chain homotopy equivalent to $D_* \oplus P[2] \oplus D^{4-*}$, where D_* is a projective resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$, $P[2]$ is a finitely generated projective module P concentrated in degree 2 and D^{4-*} is the conjugate dual of D_*, shifted to terminate in degree 2;

(2) $\Pi = \pi_2(X) \cong P \oplus E^2\mathbb{Z}$;

(3) $\chi(X) \geq 2\chi(\pi)$, with equality if and only if $P = 0$;

(4) $(E^2\mathbb{Z})^\dagger = 0$.

Moreover, $P_2(X) \simeq L = L_\pi(\Pi, 2)$, *and the homotopy type of* X *is determined by* π, Π, $\pi_3(X)$ *and the orbit of* $k_2(X) \in H^4(L; \pi_3(X))$ *under the actions of* $E_0(L)$ *and* $Aut_\pi(\pi_3(X))$.

If X *is minimal then it has* v_2*-type* II *or* III, *i.e.,* $v_2(X) = c_X^* V$ *for some* $V \in H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$. *Every such class* V *is realized by some minimal* PD_4*-complex* Z *with* $\pi_1(Z) \cong \pi$ *and* $w_1(Z) = c_Z^* w$.

Proof. Let $C_* = C_*(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$, and let D_* be the chain complex with $D_0 = C_0$, $D_1 = C_1$, $D_2 = \mathrm{Im}(\partial_2^C)$ and $D_q = 0$ for $q > 2$. Then

$$0 \to D_2 \to D_1 \to D_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

is a resolution of the augmentation module. Since $c.d.\pi \leq 2$ and D_0 and D_1 are free modules D_2 is projective, by Schanuel's Lemma. Therefore the epimorphism from C_2 to D_2 splits, and so C_* is a direct sum $C_* \cong D_* \oplus (C/D)_*$. Since X is a PD_4-complex C_* is chain homotopy equivalent to C^{4-*}. The first two assertions follow easily.

On taking homology with simple coefficients $\mathbb{Q}$, we see that $\chi(X) = 2\chi(\pi) + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_\pi P$. Hence $\chi(X) \geq 2\chi(\pi)$. Since π satisfies the Weak Bass conjecture [14] and P is projective $P = 0$ if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_\pi P = 0$.

Let $\delta : D_2 \to D_1$ be the inclusion. Then $E^2\mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{Cok}(\delta^\dagger)$ and so $(E^2\mathbb{Z})^\dagger = \mathrm{Ker}(\delta^{\dagger\dagger})$. But $\delta^{\dagger\dagger} = \delta$ is injective, and so $(E^2\mathbb{Z})^\dagger = 0$.

Since $c.d.\pi \leq 2$ the first k-invariant of X is trivial, and so $P_2(X) \simeq L = L_\pi(\Pi, 2)$. Hence the next assertion follows from Lemma 5, since these invariants determine $P_3(X)$.

Suppose now that X is minimal, and let $H = c_X^* H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$. Then $H \cup H = 0$, since $c.d.\pi = 2$. In particular, $v_2(X) \cup h = h \cup h = 0$ for all $h \in H$. Since X is minimal, $\chi(X) = 2\chi(\pi)$, and so $\dim H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) = 2 \dim H$. Therefore $v_2(X) \in H$, by the nonsingularity of Poincaré duality.

We may use a presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_g | w_1, \dots, w_r \rangle$ for π as a pattern for constructing a 5-dimensional handlebody $D^5 \cup gh^1 \cup rh^2 \simeq C(\mathcal{P})$, but we refine the construction by taking non-orientable 1-handles for generators x with $w(x) \neq 0$ and using $w_2 = V + w^2$ to twist the framings of the 2-handles corresponding to the relators. Let M be the boundary of the resulting 5-manifold. Then $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi$, $w_1(M) = c_M^* w$ and $v_2(M) = c_M^* V$. Since $E^3\mathbb{Z} = 0$ the pairing λ_M is nonsingular, by part (4) of Lemma 6. Hence M has a strongly minimal model Z, by Corollary 9. Since c_M factors through c_Z via a 2-connected degree-1 map, Z has the required properties. □

Thus "χ-minimal", "order-minimal" and "strongly minimal" are equivalent, when $c.d.\pi \leq 2$.

It remains unknown whether every finitely presentable group π with $c.d.\pi = 2$ has a finite 2-dimensional $K(\pi, 1)$-complex. We shall write $g.d.\pi = 2$ if this is so. The argument for realizing V is taken from [21], where it is shown that if $C(\mathcal{P})$ is aspherical then the manifold M is itself strongly minimal.

Corollary 26. *Let* π *be a finitely presentable group with one end and* $c.d.\pi = 2$. *Then the homotopy type of a minimal* PD_4*-complex* X *with* $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi$ *is determined by* π, $w_1(X)$ *and the orbit of* $k_2(X) \in H^4(L; \pi_3(X))$ *under the actions of* $E_0(L)$ *and* $Aut_\pi(\pi_3(X))$.

Proof. Since X is minimal, $\Pi = E^2\mathbb{Z}$, and since π has one end $H_3(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Hence Π and $\pi_3(X) = \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ are determined by π and $w_1(X)$. $\square$

We expect that the orbit of the k-invariant is detected by the refined v_2-type, but have only proven this in some special cases. (See Theorems 30 and 36 below.)

Theorem 27. *Let π be a finitely presentable group with c.d.$\pi \leq 2$. Then two PD_4-complexes X and Y with fundamental group π, $w_1(X) = c_X^*w$, $w_1(Y) = c_Y^*w$ and $\pi_2(X)^\dagger \cong \pi_2(Y)^\dagger$ a nonzero projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module are homotopy equivalent if and only if $\lambda_X \cong \lambda_Y$ and there are 2-connected degree-1 maps $g_X : X \to Z$ and $g_Y : Y \to Z$ to the same strongly minimal PD_4-complex Z. Moreover every nonsingular w-hermitean pairing on a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module is realized by some such PD_4-complex.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from Theorem 14, while the second assertion follows from Theorem 18. $\square$

If $r > 1$ the free group $F(r)$ has infinitely many ends, while $E^2\mathbb{Z} = 0$. This case is well-understood. The minimal models are the manifolds $\#^r(S^1 \times S^3)$ and $(S^1 \tilde{\times} S^3)\#(\#^{r-1}(S^1 \times S^3))$, and thus are determined by r and w [26].

If $c.d.\pi = 2$ then $E^2\mathbb{Z} \neq 0$. The group π is a PD_2-group if and only if $E^2\mathbb{Z}$ is infinite cyclic [7]; otherwise $E^2\mathbb{Z}$ is not finitely generated. The strongly minimal PD_4-complexes with fundamental group a PD_2-group are the total spaces of S^2-bundles over aspherical closed surfaces, by Theorem 5.10 of [25]. (This was first shown for the cases with X and π orientable and $w_2(X) = 0$, in [11], using cellular obstruction theory.) We shall review this case in the next section.

If $c.d.\pi = 2$ and $\pi \cong \nu \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, with ν finitely presentable, then π has one end and $\nu \cong F(r)$ for some $r > 0$. The strongly minimal PD_4-complexes with such fundamental groups $\pi \cong F(r) \rtimes Z$ are mapping tori of self homeomorphisms of $\#^r(S^1 \times S^2)$ or $(S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2)\#(\#^{r-1}(S^1 \times S^2))$, by Theorem 4.5 of [25].

If $c.d.\pi = 2$ and π is solvable then $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}*_m$, a Baumslag-Solitar group which is an ascending HNN extension. We shall show that if m is even and the orientation character is trivial there is an unique minimal model.

For the rest of this paper we shall assume that π is a finitely presentable, 2-dimensional duality group (i.e., π has one end and $c.d.\pi = 2$).

14. PD_2-GROUPS

The case of most natural interest is when π is a PD_2-group, i.e., is the fundamental group of an aspherical closed surface F. If Z is the minimal model for such a PD_4-complex X then $\Pi = \pi_2(Z)$ and $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ are infinite cyclic, and Z is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a S^2-bundle over a closed aspherical surface. (The action $u : \pi \to Aut(\Pi)$ is given by $u(g) = w_1(\pi)(g)w(g)$ for all $g \in \pi$, by Lemma 10.3 of [25], while the induced action on $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is trivial.) There are two minimal models for each pair (π, w), distinguished by their v_2-type. This follows easily from the fact that the inclusion of $O(3)$ into the monoid of self-homotopy equivalences $E(S^2)$ induces a bijection on components and an isomorphism on fundamental groups. (See Lemma 5.9 of [25].) It is instructive to consider this case from the point of view of k-invariants also, as we shall extend the argument of this section to other groups in Theorem 36 below.

Suppose first that π acts trivially on Π. Then $L \simeq K \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty$. Fix generators t, x, η and z for $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z})$, Π, $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ and $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^\infty;\mathbb{Z}) = Hom(\Pi,\mathbb{Z})$, respectively, such that $z(x) = 1$ and $2\eta = [x,x]$. (These groups are all infinite cyclic, but we should be careful to distinguish the generators, as the Whitehead product pairing of Π with itself into $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is not the pairing given by multiplication.) Let t, z denote also the generators of $H^2(L;\mathbb{Z})$ induced by the projections to K and $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$, respectively. Then $H^2(\pi;\Pi)$ is generated by $t \otimes x$, while $H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ is generated by $tz \otimes \eta$ and $z^2 \otimes \eta$. (Note that t has order 2 if $w_1(\pi) \neq 0$.)

Lemma 28. *The k-invariant $k_2(S^2)$ generates $H^4(CP^\infty;\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let $h : CP^\infty \to K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ be the fibration with homotopy fibre $P_3(S^2)$ corresponding to $k_2(S^2)$. Since $P_3(S^2)$ may be obtained by adjoining cells of dimension ≥ 5 to S^2 we see that $H^4(P_3(S^2);\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. It follows from the spectral sequence of the fibration that h^* maps $H^4(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4);\mathbb{Z})$ onto $H^4(CP^\infty;\mathbb{Z})$, and so $k_2(S^2) = h^*\iota_{\mathbb{Z},4}$ generates $H^4(CP^\infty;\mathbb{Z})$. □

Since $\widetilde{Z} \simeq S^2$, the image of $k_2(Z)$ in $H^4(\widetilde{L};\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ generates this group. Hence $k_2(Z) = \pm(z^2 \otimes \eta + mtz \otimes \eta)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The action of $[K, L]_K = [K, \mathbb{CP}^\infty] \cong H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z})$ on $H^2(L;\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $t \mapsto t$ and $z \mapsto z + t$. The action on $H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ is then given by $tz \otimes \eta \mapsto tz \otimes \eta$ and $z^2 \otimes \eta \mapsto z^2 \otimes \eta + 2tz \otimes \eta$. There are thus two possible $E_0(L)$-orbits of k-invariants, and each is in fact realized by the total space of an S^2-bundle over the surface K.

If the action u is nontrivial these calculations go through essentially unchanged with coefficients $\mathbb{F}_2$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}$. There are again two possible $E_\pi(L)$-orbits of k-invariants, and each is realized by an S^2-bundle space.

In all cases the orbits of k-invariants correspond to the elements of $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In fact the k-invariant may be detected by the Wu class. Let $[c]_2$ denote the image of a cohomology class under reduction *mod* (2). Since $k_2(Z)$ has image 0 in $H^4(Z;\Pi)$ it follows that $[z]_2^2 \equiv m[tz]_2$ in $H^4(Z;\mathbb{F}_2)$. This holds also if π is nonorientable or the action u is nontrivial, and so $v_2(Z) = m[z]_2$ and the orbit of $k_2(Z)$ determine each other.

If X is not minimal and $v_2(\widetilde{X}) \neq 0$ then the minimal model Z is not uniquely determined by X. Nevertheless we have the following results.

Theorem 29. *Let E be the total space of an S^2-bundle over an aspherical closed surface F, and let X be a PD_4-complex with $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi = \pi_1(F)$. Then there is a 2-connected degree-1 map $h : X \to E$ such that $c_E = c_X h$ if and only if $(c_X^*)^{-1}w_1(X) = (c_E^*)^{-1}w_1(E)$ and $\xi \cup c_X^* H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2) \neq 0$ for some $\xi \in H^2(X;\mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $\xi^2 = 0$ if $v_2(E) = 0$ and $\xi^2 \neq 0$ if $v_2(E) \neq 0$.*

Proof. See Theorem 10.17 of the current version of [25]. □

This is consistent with Lemma 7, for if $v_2(X) = 0$ then $\xi^2 = 0$ and $v_2(E) = 0$, while if $v_2(X) = \tau$ then $\xi^2 \neq 0$, and thus $v_2(E) \neq 0$ also.

If $w_1(X) = c_X^* w$, where $w = w_1(\pi)$, and $v_2(X) = 0$ then E must be $F \times S^2$, and we may construct a degree-1 map as follows. Let Ω generate $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ and let $x \in H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $(x \cup c_X^*\Omega) \cap [X] = 1$. Then $[x]_2^2 = 0$, since $v_2(X) = 0$. Therefore if F is nonorientable $x^2 = 0$ in $H^4(X;\mathbb{Z}) = Z/2Z$; if F is orientable then $x^2 = 2k(x \cup c_X^*\Omega)$ for some k, and we may replace x by $x' = x - kc_X^*\Omega$ to obtain a class with square 0. Such a class may be realized by a map $d : X \to S^2$, by Theorem 8.4.11 of [38], and we may set $h = (c_X, d) : X \to F \times S^2$.

Theorem 30. *The homotopy type of a PD_4-complex X with $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ a PD_2-group is determined by π, $w_1(X)$, λ_X and the v_2-type.*

Proof. Let $v = w_1(\pi)$, $u = w_1(X) + c_X^* v$, and let Ω generate $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^v)$. Then $[\Omega]_2$ generates $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$, and $\tau = c_X^*[\Omega]_2 \neq 0$. If $v_2(X) = m\tau$ and $p : X \to Z$ is a 2-connected degree-1 map then $v_2(Z) = mc_Z^*[\Omega]_2$, and so there is an unique minimal model for X. Otherwise $\tau \neq v_2(X)$, and so there are elements $y, z \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $y \cup \tau \neq y^2$ and $z \cup \tau \neq 0$. If $y \cup \tau = 0$ and $z^2 \neq 0$ then $(y + z) \cup \tau \neq 0$ and $(y + z)^2 = 0$. Taking $\xi = y, z$ or $y + z$ appropriately, we have $\xi \cup \tau \neq 0$ and $\xi^2 = 0$. Hence X has a minimal model Z with $v_2(Z) = 0$, by Theorem 29. In all cases the theorem now follows from Theorem 14. □

On the other hand, if $v_2(X) \neq 0$ or τ then there is a $\xi \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $\xi \cup (tau - v_2(X)) = 0$ but $\xi \cup \tau \neq 0$. Hence $\xi^2 = \xi \cup \tau \neq 0$, and so X also has a minimal model E with $v_2(E) \neq 0$.

In particular, if C is a smooth projective complex curve of genus ≥ 1 and $X = (C \times \mathbb{CP}^1)\#\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ is a blowup of the ruled surface $C \times \mathbb{CP}^1 = C \times S^2$ then each of the two orientable S^2-bundles over C is a minimal model for X. In this case they are also minimal models in the sense of complex surface theory. (See Chapter VI of [2].) Many of the other minimal complex surfaces in the Enriques-Kodaira classification are aspherical, and hence strongly minimal in our sense. However 1-connected complex surfaces are never minimal in our sense, since S^4 is the unique minimal 1-connected PD_4-complex and S^4 has no complex structure, by a classical result of Wu. (See Proposition IV.7.3 of [2].)

15. CUP PRODUCTS

In Theorem 36 below we shall use a "cup-product" argument to relate cohomology in degrees 2 and 4. Let G be a group and let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Let C_* and D_* be chain complexes of left Γ-modules and $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ left Γ-modules. Using the diagonal homomorphism from G to $G \times G$ we may define *internal products*

$$H^p(Hom_\Gamma(C_*, \mathcal{A})) \otimes H^q(Hom_\Gamma(D_*, \mathcal{B})) \to H^{p+q}(Hom_\Gamma(C_* \otimes D_*, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}))$$

where the tensor products of Γ-modules taken over $\mathbb{Z}$ have the diagonal G-action. (See Chapter XI.§4 of [12].) If C_* and D_* are resolutions of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, respectively, we get pairings

$$Ext^p_\Gamma(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \otimes Ext^q_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) \to Ext^{p+q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

When $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $q = 0$ we get pairings

$$H^p(G; \mathcal{A}) \otimes End_G(\mathcal{A}) \to Ext^p_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}).$$

If instead $C_* = D_* = C_*(\widetilde{S})$ for some space S with $\pi_1(S) \cong G$ composing with an equivariant diagonal approximation gives pairings

$$H^p(S; \mathcal{A}) \otimes H^q(S; \mathcal{B}) \to H^{p+q}(S; \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

These pairings are compatible with the universal coefficient spectral sequences $Ext^q_\Gamma(H_p(C_*), \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(C^*; \mathcal{A}) = H^{p+q}(Hom_\Gamma(C_*, \mathcal{A}))$, etc. We shall call these pairings "cup products", and use the symbol $\cup$ to express their values.

We wish to show that if π is a finitely presentable, 2-dimensional duality group then cup product with id_Π gives an isomorphism

$$c^2_{\pi,w} : H^2(\pi; \Pi) \to Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi, \Pi \otimes \Pi) = \Pi \odot_\pi \Pi.$$

The next lemma shows that these groups are isomorphic; we state it in greater generality than we need, in order to clarify the hypotheses on the group.

Lemma 31. *Let G be a group for which the augmentation (left) module $\mathbb{Z}$ has a finite projective resolution P_* of length n, and such that $H^j(G;\Gamma) = 0$ for $j < n$. Let $\mathcal{D} = H^n(G;\Gamma)$, $w : G \to \mathbb{Z}^\times$ be a homomorphism and $\mathcal{A}$ be a left Γ-module. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

(1) $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \otimes_\Gamma \mathcal{A} \to H^n(G;\mathcal{A})$; and

(2) $e_{\mathcal{A}} : Ext^n_\Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}/I_w\mathcal{A}$.

Hence there is an isomorphism $\theta_{\mathcal{A}} = \alpha_{\mathcal{A}} e_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\mathcal{A}} : Ext^n_\Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \to H^n(G;\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. We may assume that $P_0 = \Gamma$. Let $Q_j = Hom_\Gamma(P_{n-j}, \Gamma)$ and $\partial^Q_i = Hom_\Gamma(\partial^P_{n-j}, \Gamma)$. This gives a resolution Q_* for $\mathcal{D}$ by finitely generated projective *right* modules, with $Q_n = \Gamma$. There are natural isomorphisms $Hom_\Gamma(\overline{Q_j}, \Gamma) \cong \overline{P_{n-j}}$, since the P_is are finitely generated projective modules. If P is a finitely generated projective left Γ-module let $A_{P\mathcal{A}} : Hom_\Gamma(P, \Gamma) \otimes_\Gamma \mathcal{A} \to Hom_\Gamma(P, \mathcal{A})$ be the natural isomorphism of abelian groups given by $A_{P\mathcal{A}}(q \otimes_\Gamma a)(p) = q(p)a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $p \in P$ and $q \in Hom_\Gamma(P, \Gamma)$. Then $A_{P_*\mathcal{A}}$ and $A_{\overline{Q_*}\mathcal{A}}$ induce isomorphisms of chain complexes $Q_* \otimes_\Gamma \mathcal{A} \to Hom_\Gamma(P_{n-*}, \mathcal{A})$, and $\overline{P_*} \otimes_\Gamma \mathcal{A} \to Hom_\Gamma(\overline{Q_{n-*}}, \mathcal{A})$, respectively, from which the first two isomorphisms follow.

The final assertion follows since $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Gamma (\overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes_\Gamma \mathcal{A}$. □

If $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion free then G is a duality group of dimension n, with dualizing module $\mathcal{D}$. (See Theorem VIII.10.1 of [8].) It is not known whether all the groups considered in the lemma are duality groups, even when $n = 2$.

Lemma 32. *If G satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 31 and H is a subgroup of finite index in G then cup product with $id_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$ is an isomorphism for (G, w) if and only if it is so for $(H, w|_H)$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a left $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module then $H^n(G;\mathcal{A}) \cong H^n(H;\mathcal{A}|_H)$, by Shapiro's Lemma. Thus if G satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 31 the corresponding module for H is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}|_H$. Further applications of Shapiro's Lemma then give the result. □

In particular, it shall suffice to consider the orientable cases.

Let $\eta : Q_0 \to \mathcal{D}$ be the canonical epimorphism, and let $[\xi] \in H^n(G;\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ be the image of $\xi \in Hom_\Gamma(P_n, \overline{\mathcal{D}})$. Then $\xi \otimes \eta : P_n \otimes \overline{Q_0} \to \overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ represents $[\xi] \cup id_{\mathcal{D}}$ in $Ext^n_\Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}})$. If $\xi = A_{P_n\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(q \otimes_\Gamma \delta)$ then $\alpha_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(\eta(q) \otimes_\Gamma \delta) = [\xi]$. There is a chain homotopy equivalence $j_* : \overline{Q}_* \to P_* \otimes \overline{Q}_*$, since P_* is a resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$. Given such a chain homotopy equivalence, $e_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}\otimes\overline{\mathcal{D}}}([\xi] \cup id_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}})$ is the image of $(\xi \otimes \eta)(j_n(1^*))$, where 1^* is the canonical generator of $\overline{Q}_n$, defined by $1^*(1) = 1$.

Theorem 33. *Let G be a finitely presentable, 2-dimensional duality group, and let $w : G \to \mathbb{Z}^\times$ be a homomorphism. Then $c^2_{G,w}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note first that G satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 31, with $n = 2$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \langle X \mid R\rangle^\varphi$ be a finite presentation for G. (We shall suppress the defining epimorphism $\varphi : F(X) \to G$ where possible.) After introducing new generators x' and relators $x'x$, if necessary, we may assume that each relator is a product of distinct generators, with all the exponents positive. The new presentation $\mathcal{P}'$ has the same deficiency as $\mathcal{P}$. We may also assume that $w = 1$, by Lemma 32.

The free differential calculus gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to P_3 = \pi_2(C(\mathcal{P})) \to P_2 = \Gamma\langle p^2_r; r \in R\rangle \to P_1 = \Gamma\langle p^1_x; x \in X\rangle \to P_0 = \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

in which $\partial p^1_x = x - 1$ and $\partial p^2_r = \Sigma_{x\in X} r_x p^1_x$, where $r_x = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x}$, for $r \in R$ and $x \in X$. Moreover, P_3 is projective and ∂_3 is a split monomorphism, since $c.d.G = 2$.

Suppose first that the 2-complex $C(\mathcal{P})$ associated to the presentation is aspherical. (This assumption is not affected by our normalization of the presentations, for if $C(\mathcal{P})$ is aspherical then G is efficient, and $\chi(C(\mathcal{P}')) = def(\mathcal{P}') = \chi(C(\mathcal{P}))$. Hence $C(\mathcal{P}')$ is also aspherical, by Theorem 2.8 of [25].) Then $P_3 = 0$ and the above sequence is a free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$. The modules $\overline{Q}_0$ and $\overline{Q}_1$ have dual bases $\{q^0_x\}$ and $\{q^1_r\}$, respectively. (Thus $q^1_x(p^1_y) = 1$ if $x = y$ and 0 otherwise, and $q^0_r(p^2_s) = 1$ if $r = s$ and 0 otherwise.) Then $\partial 1^* = \Sigma_{x\in X}(x^{-1} - 1)q^1_x$ and $\partial q^1_x = \Sigma_{r\in R}\overline{r_x}q^0_r$. After our normalization of the presentation, each r_x is either 0 or in $F(X)$, for all $r \in R$ and $x \in X$, and so $r_x - 1 = \partial(\Sigma_{y\in X}\frac{\partial r_x}{\partial y}p^1_y)$.

Define j_* in degrees 0, 1 and 2 by setting

$$j_0(q^0_r) = 1 \otimes q^0_r \quad \text{for} \quad r \in R,$$

$$j_1(q^1_x) = 1 \otimes q^1_x - \Sigma_{r,y}\overline{r_x}(\frac{\partial r_x}{\partial y}p^1_y \otimes q^0_r) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X, \quad \text{and}$$

$$j_2(1^*) = 1 \otimes 1^* - \Sigma_{x\in X}x^{-1}(p^1_x \otimes q^1_x) - \Sigma_{r\in R}(p^2_r \otimes q^0_r).$$

(We do not need formulae for the higher degree terms.) Then

$$\partial j_1(q^1_x) - j_0(\partial q^1_x) = \Sigma_{r\in R}(1 \otimes \overline{r_x}q^0_r) - \Sigma_{r,y}\overline{r_x}(\frac{\partial r_x}{\partial y}(y - 1) \otimes q^0_r) - \Sigma_{r\in R}\overline{r_x}(1 \otimes q^0_r)$$

$$= \Sigma_{r\in R}[(1 \otimes \overline{r_x}q^0_r) - \overline{r_x}((r_x - 1) \otimes q^0_r) - \overline{r_x}(1 \otimes q^0_r)] = 0,$$

and so $\partial j_1 = j_0\partial$. Similarly,

$$\partial j_2(1^*) - j_1(\partial 1^*) = \Sigma_x[1\otimes(x^{-1}-1)q^1_x - x^{-1}((x-1)\otimes q^1_x) + \Sigma_r(x^{-1}(p^1_x\otimes\overline{r_x}q^0_r) - r_x p^1_x\otimes q^0_r)]$$

$$-\Sigma_x(x^{-1} - 1)[1 \otimes q^1_x - \Sigma_{r,y}\overline{r_x}(\frac{\partial r_x}{\partial y}p^1_y \otimes q^0_r)]$$

$$= \Sigma_{r,x}[x^{-1}(p^1_x \otimes \overline{r_x}q^0_r) - r_x p^1_x \otimes q^0_r + \Sigma_y(x^{-1} - 1)\overline{r_x}(\frac{\partial r_x}{\partial y}p^1_y \otimes q^0_r)].$$

It shall clearly suffice to show that the summand corresponding to each relator r is 0. After our normalization of the presentation, we may assume that $r = x_1 \dots x_m$ for some distinct $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Let $r_i = r_{x_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $r_i = x_1 \dots x_{i-1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, so $r_i x_i = r_{i+1}$ if $i < m$ and $r_m x_m = r = 1$ in G. Moreover, $\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial y} = r_j$ if $y = x_j$, for some $1 \leq j < i$, and is 0 otherwise. Let $S_{i,j} = r_i^{-1}(r_j p^1_{x_j} \otimes q^0_r)$, for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq m$. Then $x_m^{-1}S_{m,j} = S_{1,j}$, for all $j \leq m$, and so the summand corresponding to the relator r in $\partial j_2(1^*) - j_1(\partial 1^*)$ is

$$\Sigma_{i\leq m}(x_i^{-1}S_{i,i} - S_{1,i} + \Sigma_{j<i}(x_i^{-1}S_{i,j} - S_{i,j}))$$

$$= \Sigma_{i<m}(S_{i+1,i} - S_{1,i}) + \Sigma_{i\leq m}\Sigma_{j<i}(S_{i+1,j} - S_{i,j})).$$

This sum collapses to 0, and so $\partial j_2 = j_1\partial$.

We then have

$$(A_{P_2\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(q^0_s \otimes_\Gamma \delta) \otimes \eta)(j_*(1^*)) = -\Sigma_{r\in R}(q^0_s(p^2_r)\delta \otimes_\Gamma \eta(q^0_r)),$$

which has image $-\delta\otimes_\Gamma\eta(q_s^0)$ in $\mathcal{D}\otimes_\Gamma\overline{\mathcal{D}}$. Therefore $[\xi]\cup id_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}=-\theta_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(\tau([\xi]))$ for $\xi\in H^2(G;\overline{\mathcal{D}})$, where τ is the ($\mathbb{Z}$-linear) involution of $H^2(G;\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ given by $\tau(\alpha_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(\rho\otimes_\Gamma\alpha))=\alpha_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(\alpha\otimes_\Gamma\rho))$, and so $c^2_{G,w}$ is an isomorphism.

If $C(\mathcal{P})$ is not aspherical we modify the definition of the dual complex Q_* by setting $Q_1=Hom_\Gamma(P_1,\Gamma)\oplus Hom_\Gamma(P_3,\Gamma)$ and extending the differential by $s^\dagger$, where $s\partial_3=id_{P_3}$. Let $f:P_3^\dagger\to\Gamma^s$ be a split monomorphism, with left inverse $g:\Gamma^s\to P_3^\dagger$. Fix a basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_s\}$ for Γ^s, and define a homomorphism $h:\Gamma\to\Gamma\otimes\Gamma^s$ by $h(e_i)=1\otimes e_i$. Then we may extend j_1 by setting $j_1=(1\otimes g)hf$ on $P_3^\dagger$. □

In [29] we gave closed formulae for $j_2(1^*)$ for some simple (un-normalized) presentations of groups of particular interest. We should have also given the appropriate form of j_1 explicitly, for there we used the relators to simplify the derivatives r_x, which in general are sums of monomials $\Sigma_k\pm r_{xk}$, and such simplifications affect the second derivatives $\frac{\partial r_{xk}}{\partial y}$. It is safer to calculate such derivatives in $\mathbb{Z}[F(X)]$ *before* using the relators to simplify their images in Γ.

Similar formulae show that $c^1_{F,w}$ is an isomorphism for F free of finite rank $r\geq 1$.

16. REALIZING k-INVARIANTS

It follows from the Whitehead sequence that $H_3(\widetilde{L};\mathbb{Z})=0$ and $H_4(\widetilde{L};\mathbb{Z})\cong\Gamma_W(\Pi)$, since $\widetilde{L}\simeq K(\Pi,2)$. Hence the spectral sequence for the universal covering $p_L:\widetilde{L}\to L$ gives exact sequences

$$0\to Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\mathbb{Z},\Pi)=H^2(\pi;\Pi)\to H^2(L;\Pi)\to Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\Pi)=End(\Pi)\to 0,$$

which is split by the homomorphism $H^2(\sigma;\Pi)$ induced by a section σ for c_L, and

$$0\to Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\pi_3(X))\to H^4(L;\pi_3(X))\xrightarrow{p_L^*}Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Gamma_W(\Pi),\pi_3(X))\to 0,$$

since $c.d.\pi\leq 2$. The right hand homomorphisms are the homomorphisms induced by p_L, in each case. (There are similar exact sequences with coefficients any left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $\mathcal{A}$.) If $k_2(\widetilde{X})=p_L^*k_2(X)$ is an isomorphism its orbit under the action of $Aut_\pi(\pi_3(X))$ is unique. If π has one end the spectral sequence for $p_X:\widetilde{X}\to X$ gives isomorphisms $Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\mathcal{A}))\cong H^4(X;\mathcal{A})$ for any left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $\mathcal{A}$, and so $f_{X,2}$ induces splittings $H^4(L;\mathcal{A})\cong H^4(X;\mathcal{A})\oplus H^4(K(\Pi,2);\mathcal{A})^\pi$.

If X is a PD_4-complex with $\pi_1(X)=\pi$ then $H_3(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z})=H_4(\widetilde{X};\mathbb{Z})=0$. Hence $k_2(\widetilde{X}):\Gamma_W(\Pi)\to\pi_3(X)$ is an isomorphism, by the Whitehead sequence. The homotopy type of X is determined by π, w and the orbit of $k_2(X)$ under the actions of $E_0(L)$ and $Aut(\Gamma_W(\Pi))$. We would like to find more explicit and accessible invariants that characterize such orbits. We would also like to know which k-invariants give rise to PD_4-complexes.

Let $P(k)$ denote the Postnikov 3-stage determined by $k\in H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$.

Theorem 34. *Let π be a finitely presentable, 2-dimensional duality group, and let $w:\pi\to\mathbb{Z}^\times$ be a homomorphism. Let $\Pi=E^2\mathbb{Z}$ and let $k\in H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$. Then*

1. *There is a finitely dominated 4-complex Y with $H_3(\widetilde{Y};\mathbb{Z})=H_4(\widetilde{Y};\mathbb{Z})=0$ and Postnikov 3-stage $P(k)$ if and only if p_L^*k is an isomorphism and $P(k)$ has finite 3-skeleton. These conditions determine the homotopy type of Y.*
2. *If π is of type FF we may assume that Y is a finite complex.*

(3) $H_4(Y;\mathbb{Z}^w)\cong\mathbb{Z}$ *and there are isomorphisms* $\overline{H^p(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}\cong H_{4-p}(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ *induced by cap product with a generator* $[Y]$*, for* $p\neq 2$.

Proof. Let Y be a finitely dominated 4-complex with $H_3(\widetilde{Y};\mathbb{Z})=H_4(\widetilde{Y};\mathbb{Z})=0$ and Postnikov 3-stage $P(k)$. Since Y is finitely dominated it is homotopy equivalent to a 4-complex with finite 3-skeleton, and since $P(k)$ may be constructed by adjoining cells of dimension at least 5 to Y we may assume that $P(k)$ has finite 3-skeleton. The homomorphism p_L^*k is an isomorphism, by the exactness of the Whitehead sequence.

Suppose now that p_L^*k is an isomorphism and $P(k)$ has finite 3-skeleton. Let $P=P(k)^{[4]}$ and let $C_*=C_*(\widetilde{P})$ be the equivariant cellular chain complex for $\widetilde{P}$. Then C_q is finitely generated for $q\leq 3$. Let $B_q\leq Z_q\leq C_q$ be the submodules of q-boundaries and q-cycles, respectively. Clearly $H_1(C_*)=0$ and $H_2(C_*)\cong\Pi$, while $H_3(C_*)=0$, since p_L^*k is an isomorphism. Hence there are exact sequences

$$0\to B_1\to C_1\to C_0\to\mathbb{Z}\to 0$$

and

$$0\to B_3\to C_3\to Z_2\to\Pi\to 0.$$

Schanuel's Lemma implies that B_1 is projective, since $c.d.\pi=2$. Hence $C_2\cong B_1\oplus Z_2$ and so Z_2 is finitely generated and projective. It then follows that B_3 is also finitely generated and projective, and so $C_4\cong B_3\oplus Z_4$. Thus $H_4(C_*)=Z_4$ is a projective direct summand of C_4.

After replacing P by $P\vee W$, where W is a wedge of copies of S^3, if necessary, we may assume that $Z_4=H_4(P;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is free. Since $\Gamma_W(\Pi)\cong\pi_3(P)$ the Hurewicz homomorphism from $\pi_4(P)$ to $H_4(P;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is onto. (See §3 of Chapter I of [5].) We may then attach 5-cells along maps representing a basis to obtain a countable 5-complex Q with 3-skeleton $Q^{[3]}=P(k)^{[3]}$ and with $H_q(\widetilde{Q};\mathbb{Z})=0$ for $q\geq 3$. The inclusion of P into $P(k)$ extends to a 4-connected map from Q to $P(k)$. Now $C_*(\widetilde{Q})$ is chain homotopy equivalent to the complex obtained from C_* by replacing C_4 by B_3, which is a finite projective chain complex. It follows from the finiteness conditions of Wall that Q is homotopy equivalent to a finitely dominated complex Y of dimension ≤ 4 [41]. The homotopy type of Y is uniquely determined by the data, as in Lemma 2.

If π is of type FF then B_1 is stably free, by Schanuel's Lemma. Hence Z_2 is also stably free. Since dualizing a finite free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ gives a finite free resolution of $\Pi=E^2\mathbb{Z}$ we see in turn that B_3 must be stably free, and so $C_*(\widetilde{Y})$ is chain homotopy equivalent to a finite free complex. Hence Y is homotopy equivalent to a finite 4-complex [41].

Let D_* and E_* be the subcomplexes of C_* corresponding to the above projective resolutions of $\mathbb{Z}$ and Π. (Thus $D_0=C_0$, $D_1=C_1$, $D_2=B_1$ and $D_q=0$ for $q\neq 0,1,2$, while $E_2=Z_2$, $E_3=C_3$, $E_4=B_3$ and $E_r=0$ for $r\neq 2,3,4$.) Then $C_*(\widetilde{Y})\simeq D_*\oplus E_*$. (The splitting reflects the fact that c_Y is a retraction, since $k_1(Y)=0$.) Clearly $H^p(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])=H_{4-p}(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])=0$ if $p\neq 2$ or 4, while $H^4(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])=E^2\Pi\cong\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_4(Y;\mathbb{Z}^w)=Tor_2(\mathbb{Z}^w;\Pi)\cong\mathbb{Z}^w\otimes_\pi\mathbb{Z}[\pi]\cong\mathbb{Z}$. The homomorphism $\varepsilon_{w\#}:H^4(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])\to H^4(Y;\mathbb{Z}^w)$ induced by ε_w is surjective, since Y is 4-dimensional, and therefore is an isomorphism. Hence $-\cap[Y]$ induces isomorphisms in degrees other than 2. $\square$

Since $\overline{H^2(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])}\cong E^2\mathbb{Z}$, $H_2(Y;\mathbb{Z}[\pi])=\Pi$ and $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(E^2\mathbb{Z},\Pi)\cong End(E^2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, cap product with $[Y]$ in degree 2 is determined by an integer, and Y is a PD_4-complex if and only if this integer is ±1. The obvious question is: what is this integer? Is it always ±1? The complex C_* is clearly chain homotopy equivalent to its dual, but is the chain homotopy equivalence given by slant product with $[Y]$?

There remains also the question of characterizing the k-invariants corresponding to Postnikov 3-stages with finite 3-skeleton.

If π is either a semidirect product $F(s)\rtimes\mathbb{Z}$ or the fundamental group of a Haken 3-manifold M then $\widetilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi])=0$, i.e., projective $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules are stably free [40]. (This is not yet known for all torsion-free one relator groups.) In such cases finitely dominated complexes are homotopy finite.

Stably free $\mathbb{Z}[Z^2]$-modules are free [33]. However if $\pi = Z\tilde{\times}Z$ is the Klein bottle group then $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}[Z\tilde{\times}Z]$ has nonprincipal ideals J such that $J\oplus\Gamma\cong\Gamma^2$ [1], while "stably free implies free" is apparently not known for the the other PD_2-groups.

17. ORBITS OF THE k-INVARIANT

In this section we shall attempt to extend the argument sketched in §14 above for the case of PD_2-groups to other finitely presentable, 2-dimensional duality groups. We believe that the hypothesis in Theorem 36 below on 2-torsion shall ultimately be seen to be unnecessary.

Lemma 35. *Let π be a finitely presentable, 2-dimensional duality group, and let $w:\pi\to\mathbb{Z}^\times$ be a homomorphism. Let $\Pi=E^2\mathbb{Z}$. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\Pi\odot_\pi\Pi\to\mathbb{Z}^w\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}\Gamma_W(\Pi)\to H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)\to 0.$$

If $\Pi\odot_\pi\Pi$ is 2-torsion-free this sequence is short exact.

Proof. Since π is finitely presentable Π is $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion-free, by Proposition 13.7.1 of [18], and so the natural map from $\Pi\odot\Pi$ to $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is injective. Applying $\mathbb{Z}^w\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}-$ to the exact sequence

$$0\to\Pi\odot\Pi\to\Gamma_W(\Pi)\to\Pi/2\Pi\to 0$$

gives the above sequence, since $\mathbb{Z}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}\Pi/2\Pi\cong\Pi/(2,I_w)\Pi\cong H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$. The kernel on the left in this sequence is the image of the 2-torsion group $Tor_1^{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\mathbb{Z}^w,\Pi/2\Pi)$. ∎

Theorem 36. *Let π be a finitely presentable, 2-dimensional duality group, and let $w:\pi\to\mathbb{Z}^\times$ be a homomorphism. Let $\Pi=E^2\mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $\mathbb{Z}^w\otimes_\pi\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is 2-torsion-free. Then the homotopy type of a minimal PD_4-complex X with $\pi_1(X)\cong\pi$ and $w_1(X)=c_X^*w$ is determined by its refined v_2-type.*

Proof. The homotopy type of a minimal PD_4-complex X with $\pi_1(X)\cong\pi$ and $w_1(X)=c_X^*w$ is determined by $k=k_2(X)\in H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$, where $\Pi=E^2\mathbb{Z}$ and $L=L_\pi(\Pi)$. This class is only well defined up to the actions of $Aut(\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ and $E_0(L)$. Since $p_L^*k=k_2(\widetilde{X})$ is an isomorphism, by part (1) of Theorem 34, we may assume that $p_L^*k=id_{\Gamma_W(\Pi)}$, after applying an automorphism of $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$. Since $E_0(L)\cong H^2(\pi;\Pi)\rtimes(Aut(\Pi)\rtimes Aut(\pi))$ and $Aut(\Pi)=\{\pm1\}$ acts trivially on $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$, the main task is to consider the action of $H^2(\pi;\Pi)$. (We consider the action of $Aut(\pi)$ in the final paragraph of the proof.) We shall show that this action is closely related to the cup product homomorphism $c^2_{\pi,w}$.

Let $\phi \in H^2(\pi;\Pi)$ and let $s_\phi \in [K,L]_K$ and $h_\phi \in [L,L]_K$ be as defined in Lemma 4. Let $M = L_\pi(\Pi,3)$ and let $\overline{\Omega} : [M,M]_K \to [L,L]_K$ be the loop map. Since $c.d.\pi = 2$ we have $[M,M]_K \cong H^3(M;\Pi) = End(\Pi)$. Let $g \in [M,M]_K$ have image $[g] = \pi_3(g) \in End(\Pi)$ and let $f = \overline{\Omega}g$. Then $\omega([g]) = f^*\iota_{\Pi,2}$ defines a homomorphism $\omega : End(\Pi) \to H^2(L;\Pi)$ such that $p_L^*\omega([g]) = [g]$ for all $[g] \in End(\Pi)$. Moreover $f\mu = \mu(f,f)$, since $f = \overline{\Omega}g$, and so $fh_\phi = \mu(fs_\phi c_L, f)$. Hence

$$h_\phi^*\xi = \xi + c_L^*s_\phi^*\xi$$

for $\xi = \omega([g]) = f^*\iota_{\Pi,2}$. Naturality of the isomorphisms $H^2(X;\mathcal{A}) \cong [X, L_\pi(\mathcal{A},2)]_K$ for X a space over K and $\mathcal{A}$ a left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module implies that

$$s_\phi^*\omega([g]) = [g]_\# s_\phi^*\iota_{\Pi,2} = [g]_\#\phi$$

for all $\phi \in H^2(\pi;\Pi)$ and $g \in [M,M]_K$. (See Chapter 5.§4 of [3].)

With our present hypotheses the exact sequences of §16 give sequences

$$0 \to Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\mathbb{Z},\Pi) = H^2(\pi;\Pi) \xrightarrow{c_L^*} H^2(L;\Pi) \xrightarrow{p_L^*} End(\Pi) \to 0$$

(split by the homomorphism $H^2(\sigma;\Pi)$ induced by a section σ for c_L), and

$$0 \to Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\Gamma_W(\Pi)) \to H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi)) \xrightarrow{p_L^*} End(\Gamma_W(\Pi)) \to 0.$$

We shall identify the modules on the left with their images, to simplify the notation.

If $u \in H^2(\pi;\Pi)$ then $h_\phi^*(u) = u$, since $c_Lh_\phi = c_L$. The automorphism of $End(\Pi) \cong H^2(L;\Pi)/H^2(\pi;\Pi)$ induced by h_ϕ is also the identity, since the lifts of h_ϕ are (non-equivariantly) homotopic to the identity in $\widetilde{L}$. Hence there is a homomorphism

$$\delta_\phi : End(\Pi) \to H^2(\pi;\Pi)$$

such that $h_\phi^*(\xi) = \xi + c_L^*\delta_\phi(p_L^*\xi)$ for all $\xi \in H^2(L;\Pi)$. Since $p_L^*\delta_\phi = 0$ and $h_{\phi+\psi} = h_\phi h_\psi$ it follows that δ_ϕ is additive as a function of ϕ. Since π is a 2-dimensional duality group, $H^2(\pi;\Pi) \cong H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Pi = \overline{\Pi} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Pi$, and so $\phi = \rho \otimes_\pi \alpha$ for some $\rho \in \overline{\Pi}$ and $\alpha \in \Pi$. If $g \in [M,M]_K$ then

$$\delta_\phi([g]) = \delta_\phi(p_L^*\omega([g])) = s_\phi^*\omega([g]) = \rho \otimes_\pi [g](\alpha).$$

Similarly, the automorphism of $H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ induced by h_ϕ fixes the subgroup $Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ and induces the identity on the quotient $End(\Gamma_W(\Pi))$. Hence there is a homomorphism

$$f_\phi : H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi)) \to Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\Gamma_W(\Pi)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$$

such that $h_\phi^*(u) = u + f_\phi(u)$ for all $u \in H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$, and such that $f_\phi = 0$ on $Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\Gamma_W(\Pi))$.

When $S = L$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \Pi$, and $p = q = 2$ the construction of §15 gives a cup product pairing of $H^2(L;\Pi)$ with itself with values in $H^4(L;\Pi\otimes\Pi)$. Since $c.d.\pi = 2$ this pairing is trivial on the image of $H^2(\pi;\Pi)\otimes H^2(\pi;\Pi)$. The maps c_L and σ induce a splitting $H^2(L;\Pi) \cong H^2(\pi;\Pi) \oplus End(\Pi)$, and this pairing restricts to the cup product pairing of $H^2(\pi;\Pi)$ with $End(\Pi)$ with values in $Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\Pi\otimes\Pi)$. We may also compose with the natural homomorphisms from $\Pi\otimes\Pi$ to $\Pi\odot\Pi$ and $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$ to get pairings with values in $H^4(L;\Pi\odot\Pi)$ and $H^4(L;\Gamma_W(\Pi))$.

Since $h_\phi^*(\xi\cup\xi') = h_\phi^*\xi \cup h_\phi^*\xi'$ we have also

$$f_\phi(\xi\cup\xi') = \delta_\phi(p_L^*\xi')\cup\xi + \delta_\phi(p_L^*\xi)\cup\xi'$$

for all $\xi, \xi' \in H^2(L;\Pi)$.

On passing to $\widetilde{L} \simeq K(\Pi,2)$ we find that

$$p_L^*(\xi \cup \xi')(\gamma_\Pi(x)) = p_L^*\xi(x) \odot p_L^*\xi'(x)$$

for all $\xi, \xi' \in H^2(L;\Pi)$ and $x \in \Pi$. (To see this, note that the inclusion of x determines a map from $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$ to $K(\Pi,2)$, since $[\mathbb{CP}^\infty, K(\Pi,2)] = Hom(\mathbb{Z},\Pi)$. Hence we may use naturality of cup products to reduce to the case when $K(\Pi,2) = \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ and x is a generator of $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}$.) In particular, if $\Xi = \lambda \cup \lambda$ with $p_L^*\lambda = id_\Pi$ then

$$p_L^*(\Xi) = 2id_{\Gamma_W(\Pi)} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\phi(\Xi) = 2(\phi) \cup id_\Pi = 2c^2_{\pi,w}(\phi).$$

Since $p_L^*k = id_{\Gamma_W(\Pi)}$, we have $p_L^*(2k-\Xi) = 0$, and so $2k-\Xi \in Ext^2_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi,\Gamma_W(\Pi))$. Therefore $2(f_\phi(k) - c^2_{\pi,w}(\phi)) = f_\phi(2k-\Xi) = 0$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\pi \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is 2-torsion-free $f_\phi(k) = c^2_{\pi,w}(\phi)$. Since $c^2_{\pi,w}$ is an isomorphism, the orbit of k under the action of $E_0(L)$ on $(p_L^*)^{-1}(id_{\Gamma_W(\Pi)})$ corresponds to an element of $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$, the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ by the image of $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi$, by Lemma 35.

The refined v_2-types II and III are the orbits of the action of $Aut(\pi)$ on $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2)$, and each is realizable by a minimal PD_4-complex, by Theorem 25. Thus the orbits of k-invariants of minimal PD_4-complexes with Postnikov 2-stage L under the actions of $E_0(L)$ and $Aut(\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ correspond to the refined v_2-types, and the theorem follows from the corollary to Theorem 25. □

The hypotheses of this theorem hold if π is an orientable PD_2-group and $w = 1$. (We then have $L = K \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty$, $p_L^*k = z^2 \otimes \eta$ and $f_{t\otimes x}(k) = 2tz \otimes \eta = c^2_{\pi,w}(t \otimes x)$.) The conclusion of the theorem holds also when π is a PD_2-group and $w \neq 1$, although $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for such pairs (π, w). Can we relax the 2-torsion hypothesis to require only that the image of $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi$ in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ be 2-torsion-free? This holds for all pairs (π, w) with π a PD_2-group and also for $\pi = Z*_m$ and $w = 1$, and is easier to check. (See Lemma 38 below for the latter case.)

When $\beta_2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2) \leq 1$ the orbit structures are unique.

Corollary 37. *If $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ and $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi$ is 2-torsion-free there is an unique minimal PD_4-complex realizing (π, w). Hence two PD_4-complexes X and Y with $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(Y) \cong \pi$ are homotopy equivalent if and only if there is an isomorphism $\theta : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ such that $w_1(X) = w_1(Y) \circ \theta$ and an isometry of homotopy intersection pairings $\lambda_X \cong \theta^*\lambda_Y$.* □

If $H^2(\pi;\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is 2-torsion-free there are two such complexes, distinguished by whether $v_2(X) = 0$ or not.

The work of [21] suggests the refined v_2-type should suffice to complete the classification of minimal PD_4-complexes, without the technical hypothesis on 2-torsion or the restriction that π have one end. If, moreover, $g.d.\pi = 2$ then every such minimal PD_4-complex should be homotopy equivalent to a closed 4-manifold, by Theorem 25.

Does Theorem 29 have an analogue for other 2-dimensional duality groups? Let X and Z be PD_4-complexes with such a fundamental group π, with Z minimal, and such that $(c_X^*)^{-1}w_1(X) = (c_Z^*)^{-1}w_1(Z)$. Then $[X,Z]_K$ maps onto $[X,P_3(Z)]_K$, by cellular approximation, and

$$[X,P_3(Z)]_K = \{f \in [X,L]_K \mid f^*k_2(Z) = 0 \textit{ in } H^4(X;\Gamma_W(\Pi))\}.$$

Can the condition $f^*k_2(Z) = 0$ be made more explicit? The map f corresponds to a class in $H^2(X; \Pi)$ and $H^4(X; \Gamma_W(\Pi)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi))$, by Poincaré duality for X. Theorem 29 suggests that we should consider the image of $f^*(k_2(Z))$ in $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$, under the epimorphism of Lemma 35. Apart from this, we must determine when such a map f has a degree-1 representative $g : X \to Z$.

18. VERIFYING THE TORSION CONDITION FOR $Z*_m$

If π is finitely presentable, has one end and $c.d.\pi = 2$ but π is not a PD_2-group then $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ is free abelian, by Proposition 13.7.12 of [18].

Lemma 38. *Let* $\pi = \mathbb{Z}*_m$, $w = 1$ *and* $\Pi = E^2\mathbb{Z}$. *Then* $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi$ *is torsion-free.*

Proof. The group $\pi = \mathbb{Z}*_m$ has a one-relator presentation $\langle a, t \mid ta = a^m t\rangle$ and is also a semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{m}] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ and $D = \mathbb{Z}[a_n]/(a_{n+1} - a_n^m)$, where $a_n = t^n a t^{-n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $R = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} t^n D$ is a twisted Laurent extension of the commutative domain D.

On dualizing the Fox-Lyndon resolution of the augmentation module we see that $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong R/(a^m - 1, t - \mu_m)R$ and so $\Pi \cong R/R(a^m - 1, t\mu_m - 1)$, where $\mu_m = \Sigma_{i=0}^{i=m-1} a^i$. Let $E = D/(a^m - 1)$ and let a_{k/m^n} be the image of a^k_{-n} in E. Then E is freely generated as an abelian group by $\{a_x \mid x \in J\}$, where $J = \{\frac{k}{m^n} \mid 0 < n,\ 0 \leq k < m^{n+1}\}$. Since $ta^k_{1-n} = a^k_{-n}t$ we have $\Pi \cong \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} t^n E/\sim$, where $t^m a_x \sim t^m a_x t\mu_m = t^{m+1}\mu_m a_{x/m}$.

Therefore $\Pi \odot \Pi \cong \oplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}(t^m E \odot t^m E)/\sim$, where

$$t^m a_x \odot t^m a_y \sim t^{m+1}\mu_m a_{x/m} \odot t^{m+1}\mu_m a_{y/m}.$$

Setting $z = y - x$ this gives

$$t^m a_x(1 \odot a_z) \sim t^{m+1} a_{x/m}(\mu_m \odot \mu_m a_{z/m}) = t^{m+1} a_{x/m}(\Sigma_{i,j=0}^{i,j=m-1} a^i(1 \odot a^{j-i} a_{z/m})).$$

Define a function $f : E \to \Pi \odot \Pi$ by $f(e) = 1 \odot e = e \odot 1$ for $e \in E$. Then $f(a_x) = a_x f(a_{m-x})$ for all x, since $a_x \odot 1 = a_x(1 \odot a_{m-x})$. On factoring out the action of π we see that

$$\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi \cong E/(a_z - a_{m-z}, a_z - m(\Sigma_{k=0}^{k=m-1} a^k a_{z/m})\ \forall z \in J).$$

(In simplifying the double sum we may set $k = j - i$ for $j \geq i$ and $k = j + m - i$ otherwise, since $a^m a_{z/m} = a_{z/m}$ for all z.) Thus $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi$ is a direct limit of free abelian groups and so is torsion-free. $\square$

If moreover $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\pi \Pi/2\Pi = H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ then $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$. Thus if $\pi = \mathbb{Z}*_m$ with m even $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ is torsion-free. This group is also torsion-free for $\mathbb{Z}*_1 = \mathbb{Z}^2$; does this hold for all m?

19. 4-MANIFOLDS AND 2-KNOTS

In this section we shall invoke surgery arguments, and so "4-manifold" and "s-cobordism" shall mean TOP 4-manifold and (5-dimensional) TOP s-cobordism, respectively.

Suppose that π is either the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups, with all vertex groups Z, or is square root closed accessible, or is a classical knot group. (This includes all PD_2-groups, semidirect products $F(s) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and the solvable groups $\mathbb{Z}*_m$.) Then $Wh(\pi) = 0$, $L_5(\pi, w)$ acts trivially on the s-cobordism structure set $S^s_{TOP}(M)$ and the surgery obstruction map $\sigma_4(M) : [M, G/TOP] \to L_4(\pi, w)$ is onto, for any closed 4-manifold M realizing (π, w). (See Lemma 6.9 and Theorem 17.8 of [25].)

If, moreover, $w_2(\widetilde{M}) = 0$ then every 4-manifold which is homotopy equivalent to M is s-cobordant to M, by Theorem 6.7, Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.9 of [Hi]. If $w_2(\widetilde{M}) \neq 0$ there are at most two s-cobordism classes of homotopy equivalences. After stabilization by connected sum with copies of $S^2 \times S^2$ there are two s-cobordism classes, distinguished by their KS smoothing invariants (see [31]).

If π is solvable (e.g., if $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ or $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{-1} \mathbb{Z}$) then 5-dimensional s-cobordisms are products and stabilization is unnecessary, so homotopy equivalent 4-manifolds with fundamental group π are homeomorphic if the universal cover is Spin, and there are two homeomorphism types otherwise, distinguished by their KS invariants.

The Baumslag-Solitar group $\mathbb{Z}*_m$ has such a graph-of-groups structure and is solvable, so the 5-dimensional TOP s-cobordism theorem holds. Thus if m is even the closed orientable 4-manifold M with $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}*_m$ and $\chi(M) = 0$ is unique up to homeomorphism. If $m = 1$ there are two such homeomorphism types, distinguished by the second Wu class $v_2(M)$.

Let π be a finitely presentable group with $c.d.\pi = 2$. If $H_1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = \pi/\pi' \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then $\mathrm{def}(\pi) = 1$, by Theorem 2.8 of [25]. If moreover π is the normal closure of a single element then π is the group of a 2-knot $K : S^2 \to S^4$. (If the Whitehead Conjecture is true every knot group of deficiency 1 has cohomological dimension at most 2.) Since π is torsion-free it is indecomposable, by a theorem of Klyachko [32]. Hence π has one end.

Let $M = M(K)$ be the closed 4-manifold obtained by surgery on the 2-knot K. Then $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi = \pi K$ and $\chi(M) = \chi(\pi) = 0$, and so M is a minimal model for π. If K is reflexive it is determined by M and the orbit of its meridian under the automorphisms of π induced by self-homeomorphisms of M. If $\pi = F(s) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ the homotopy type of M is determined by π, as explained in §4 above. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ it follows that M is s-cobordant to the fibred 4-manifold with $\#^s(S^2 \times S^1)$ and fundamental group π. Knots with Seifert surface a punctured sum $\#^s(S^2 \times S^1)_o$ are reflexive. Thus if K is fibred (and $c.d.\pi = 2$) it is determined (among all 2-knots) up to s-concordance and change of orientations by π together with the orbit of its meridian under the automorphisms of π induced by self-homeomorphisms of the corresponding fibred 4-manifold. (This class of 2-knots includes all Artin spins of fibred 1-knots. See §6 of Chapter 17 of [25] for more on 2-knots with $c.d.\pi = 2$.)

A stronger result holds for the group $\pi = \mathbb{Z}*_2$. This is the group of Fox's Example 10, which is a ribbon 2-knot [16]. In this case π determines the homotopy type of $M(K)$, by Lemma 38 and Theorem 36. Since metabelian knot groups have an unique conjugacy class of normal generators (up to inversion) Fox's Example 10 is the unique 2-knot (up to TOP isotopy and reflection) with this group. (If K is any other nontrivial 2-knot such that πK is torsion-free and elementary amenable then $M(K)$ is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold. See Chapters 16-18 of [25].)

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[Z]$. There is a hermitian pairing B on a finitely generated free Λ-module which is not extended from the integers, and a closed orientable 4-manifold M_B with $\pi_1(M) \cong Z$ and such that the intersection pairing on $\pi_2(M_B)$ is equivalent to B. In particular, M_B is not the connected sum of $S^1 \times S^3$ with a 1-connected 4-manifold [22]. Let $N_B \subset M_B$ be an open regular neighbourhood of a loop representing a generator of $\pi_1(M_B)$. Suppose that X is a closed 4-manifold with fundamental group π and that there is an orientation preserving loop $\gamma \subset X$ whose image in π/π' generates a free direct summand. (For instance, there is such a loop if X is the total space of an S^2-bundle over an aspherical closed surface F with $\beta_1(F) > 1$). Then γ has a regular neighbourhood homeomorphic to N_B, and we may identify these regular neighbourhoods to obtain $N = M_B \cup_{S^1 \times D^3} X$. The inclusion of $\langle g \rangle$ into π and the projection of π onto Z mapping g to 1 determines a monomorphism $\gamma : \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ and a retraction $\rho : \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \to \Lambda$. In particular, $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\rho]} (\mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\gamma]} B) \cong B$. It follows that as B is not extended from $\mathbb{Z}$ neither is $\mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\gamma]} B$. Therefore N is not the connected sum of E with a 1-connected 4-manifold.

References

[1] Artamonov, V.A. Projectively free nilpotent groups,
Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1983), 41–42.

[2] Barth, W., Peters, C. and Van de Ven, A. *Compact Complex Surfaces*,
Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3 Folge, Bd 4,
Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1984).

[3] Baues, H.-J. *Obstruction Theory*,
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 628, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1977).

[4] Baues, H.-J. *Algebraic Homotopy*,
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge – New York (1989).

[5] Baues, H.-J. *Combinatorial Homotopy and 4-Dimensional Complexes*,
W. De Gruyter, Berlin – New York (1991).

[6] Baues, H.-J. and Bleile, B. Poincaré duality complexes in dimension four,
Algebraic and Geometric Topology 8 (2008), 2355–2389.

[7] Bowditch, B.H. Planar groups and the Seifert conjecture,
J. Reine u. Angew. Math. 576 (2004), 11–62.

[8] Brown, K.S. *Cohomology of Groups*,
Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1982).

[9] Button, J.O. Mapping tori with first Betti number at least two,
J. Math. Soc. Japan (2007), 351–370.

[10] Cavicchioli,A. and Hegenbarth, F. On the homotopy classification of 4-manifolds having the fundamental group of an aspherical 4-manifold, Osaka J. Math. 37 (2000), 859–871.

[11] Cavicchioli, A., Hegenbarth, F. and Repovš, D. Four-manifolds with surface fundamental groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 4007–4019.

[12] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S. *Homological Algebra*,
Princeton University Press, Princeton (1956).

[13] Dicks, W. and Dunwoody, M.J. *Groups acting on Graphs*,
Cambridge University Press (1989).

[14] Eckmann, B. Cyclic homology of groups and the Bass conjecture,
Comment. Math. Helvetici 61 (1986), 193–202.

[15] Farrell, F.T. An extension of Tate cohomology to a class of infinite groups,
J. Pure Appl. Alg. 10 (1977), 153–161.

[16] Fox, R.H. A quick trip through knot theory,
in *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics* (edited by M.K.Fort, Jr),
Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.(1962), 120–167.

[17] Freedman, M.H. and Quinn, F. *Topology of 4-Manifolds*,
Princeton University Press, Princeton (1990).

[18] Geoghegan,R. *Topological Methods in Group Theory*,
Graduate Texts in Mathematics 243, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (2008).

[19] Hambleton, I. and Kreck, M. On the classification of topological 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group, Math. Ann. 280 (1988), 85–104.

[20] Hambleton, I., Kreck, M. and Teichner, P. Nonorientable four-manifolds with fundamental group of order 2, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994), 649–665.

[21] Hambleton, I., Kreck, M. and Teichner, P. Topological 4-manifolds with geometrically
two-dimensional fundamental groups, J. Topol. Anal. 1 (2009), 123–151.

[22] Hambleton, I. and Teichner, P. A non-extended hermitian form over $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$,
Manus. Math. 93 (1997), 435–442.

[23] Hegenbarth, F., Repovš, D. and Spaggiari, F. Connected sums of 4-manifolds,
Top. Appl. 146-147 (2005), 209–225.

[24] Hendriks, H. *Applications de la théorie d'obstruction en dimension 3*,
Mem. Soc. Math. France 53 (1977), 1–86.

[25] Hillman, J.A. *Four-Manifolds, Geometries and Knots*,
Geometry and Topology Monographs, vol. 5, Geometry and Topology Publications (2002).
Current version (February 2013) available at author's home page.

[26] Hillman, J.A. PD_4-complexes with free fundamental group,
Hiroshima Math. J. 34 (2004), 295–306.

[27] Hillman, J.A. PD_4-complexes with fundamental group a PD_2-group,

Top. Appl. 142 (2004), 49–60.
[28] Hillman, J.A. PD_4-complexes with strongly minimal models, Top. Appl. 153 (2006), 2413–2424.
[29] Hillman, J.A. Strongly minimal PD_4-complexes, Top. Appl. 156 (2009), 1565–1577.
[30] Kim, M.H., Kojima, S. and Raymond, F. Homotopy invariants of nonorientable 4-manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 333 (1992), 71–83.
[31] Kirby, R.C. and Taylor, L.R. A survey of 4-manifolds through the eyes of surgery, in *Surveys on Surgery* vol. 2, Princeton University Press, Princeton (2001), 387–421.
[32] Klyachko, A. A funny property of sphere and equations over groups, Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), 2555–2575.
[33] Lam, T.Y. *Serre's Conjecture*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 635, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1978).
[34] Olum, P. Mappings of manifolds and notions of degree, Ann. Math. 58 (1953), 458–480.
[35] Plotnick, S.P. Equivariant intersection forms, knots in S^4 and rotations in 2-spheres, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 543–575.
[36] Ranicki, A. *Algebraic and Geometric Surgery*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford (2002).
[37] Rutter, J.W. The group of homotopy self-equivalences of non-simply-connected spaces using Postnikov decompositions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Ser. A 120 (1992), 47–60; II, *ibid* 122 (1992), 127–135.
[38] Spanier, E. *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1966).
[39] Tsukiyama, K. Self-homotopy-equivalences of a space with two nonvanishing homotopy groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1980), 134–138.
[40] Waldhausen, F. Algebraic K-theory of generalized free products, Ann. Math. 108 (1978), 135–256.
[41] Wall, C.T.C. Finiteness conditions for CW complexes. II, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Series A 295 (1966), 129–139.
[42] Wall, C.T.C. *Surgery on Compact Manifolds*, Academic Press, New York – London (1970).
[43] Whitehead, J.H.C. A certain exact sequence, Ann. Math. 52 (1950), 51–110.
[44] Whitehead, G.W. *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 61, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1978).

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
E-mail address: `jonh@maths.usyd.edu.au`